

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
REQUEST FOR BOARD OF TRUSTEES ACTION

For Inclusion on Board Agenda
Resolution or Ordinance (Blue) Waiver of First Requested
Recommendations of Boards, Commissions & Committees (Green)
Other Business (Pink)

X

TO: PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES

FROM: David A. Hulseberg, Village Manager *deh*

DATE: May 26, 2009 (BOT) Date: June 4, 2009

TITLE: PC 09-11: Text Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and
PC 09-12: 250 Cortland (Article II Range Inc.)

SUBMITTED BY: Department of Community Development *MD*

BACKGROUND/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation relative to the above-mentioned petitions. These petitions request:

PC 09-11: Text Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance

A text amendment to Section 155.420(C) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance (and other sections where needed for clarity) allowing "Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product)" to be listed as a conditional use within the I - Limited Industrial District. that the Village grant a conditional use, pursuant to Section 155.417 (G) (2) (a) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, to allow outside service areas (outdoor dining) for the subject property located within the B4A Roosevelt Road Corridor District.

PC 09-12: 250 Cortland (Article II Range Inc.)

The Village grant a conditional use, pursuant to Section 155.420(C) of the Zoning Ordinance to allow a "Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product)" within the I - Limited Industrial District: (DISTRICT #1)

The Plan Commission recommended approval of these petitions with conditions.

Please place this item on the June 4, 2009 Board of Trustees agenda.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:

Review (as necessary):

Village Attorney X
Finance Director X
Village Manager X

Date
Date
Date

5/27/09

NOTE: All materials must be submitted to and approved by the Village Manager's Office by 12:00 noon, Wednesday, prior to the Agenda Distribution.



MEMORANDUM

TO: David A. Hulseberg, Village Manager

FROM: William Heniff, AICP *WH*
Director of Community Development

DATE: June 4, 2009

SUBJECT: PC 09-11 & PC 09-12: 250 Cortland Ave. (Article II Range Inc.):

Attached please find the following items for Village Board consideration as part of the June 4, 2009 Village Board meeting:

1. Plan Commission referral letter;
2. IDRC report for PC 09-11;
3. IDRC report for PC 09-12;
4. An Ordinance granting approval of text Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance to allow Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product);
5. An Ordinance granting conditional use approval to allow Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product); and
6. Plans associated with the conditional use petition.

As both petitions are directly related to one another, the Plan Commission decided to hear both petitions as one. The Plan Commission recommended approval of the zoning actions associated with both petitions.



VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
255 E. Wilson Ave.
Lombard, Illinois 60148-3931
(630) 620-5700 Fax (630) 620-8222
www.villageoflombard.org

Village President
William J. Mueller

Village Clerk
Brigitte O'Brien

Mr. William J. Mueller,
Village President, and
Board of Trustees
Village of Lombard

**Subject: PC 09-11: Text Amendments to the Lombard Zoning Ordinance
PC 09-12: 250 Cortland (Article II Range Inc.)**

Dear President and Trustees:

Your Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation regarding the above-referenced petitions. Relative to PC 09-11, the petitioner requests a text amendment to Section 155.420(C) of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance (and other sections where needed for clarity) allowing "Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product)" to be listed as a conditional use within the I - Limited Industrial District. Relative to PC 09-12, the petitioner requests that the Village grant a conditional use, pursuant to Section 155.420(C) of the Zoning Ordinance to allow a "Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product)" within the I - Limited Industrial District.

"Our shared vision for Lombard is a community of excellence exemplified by its government working together with residents and businesses to create a distinctive sense of spirit and an outstanding quality of life."

After due notice and as required by law, the Plan Commission conducted a public hearing for this petition on May 18, 2009.

"The Mission of the Village of Lombard is to provide superior and responsive governmental services to the people of Lombard."

Chairperson Ryan noted that PC 09-11 will be combined with PC 09-12, but will have two separate votes.

Marshall Subach, 1035 S. York Road, Bensenville, attorney representing Jerome Soskin, Contract Purchaser for the property at 250 Cortland, presented the petition. Mr. Subach stated they are seeking a text amendment and a conditional use to allow an indoor shooting range and indoor sales. He stated that they previously came before the Plan Commission for a similar request at a different location. The Commissioners approved that petition, but it was ultimately denied by the Board of Trustees. One of the comments the Board had at that time was to search for a location north of North Avenue, which they have now done. He mentioned the history of the business and stated that this issue is not about gun control, but about zoning and land use. He is here to tonight to explain the petitions and ease any concerns one might have.

The size of the parcel is approximately 40,000 square feet. The building is a one-story multi-tenant, brick building, approximately 22,000 square feet in size with an existing sprinkler system. There are 41 parking spaces on site. Inside the building the indoor range will consist of 24 shooting stalls at approximately 9,700 square feet, 2,600 square feet of retail space, and the remaining space will consist of a reloading area, classroom space, gunsmith operations, lockers, offices and a virtual shooting range. The hours of operation will be 10 a.m. to 9 p.m. Monday through Friday; 9 am to 6 pm on Saturday and 9 am to 5 pm on Sunday. The property is surrounded by industrial uses and is adjacent to the Village of Addison. The closest residential site is ½ mile away. The only means of access to the site is from North Avenue.

Mr. Subach referred to a display board consisting of photos of the site. The first photo was of the front of the building, which showed the entrance. Next was a photo of the west side yard and the neighboring building to the west; the rear of the subject property, which shows the loading dock doors, which will not be used by his client; the open space to the north of the subject property; the building to the east and lastly the building to the south, which is another industrial building.

He then referred to the site plan to explain how the facility is laid out. He noted the strategic placement of the indoor shooting range, which has been located at the rear of the building. There are 24 shooting stalls, which face north and are constructed of steel. Continuing, he mentioned that the entrance is located in the front of the building off of Cortland. Upon entering the building the retail space will be the first thing you will see. The reloading space is located behind the retail space. The gunsmith operations, lockers and the virtual shooting range are located along the east wall, which is the common wall they share with another tenant of the building. The classrooms, employee room, office and storage are located along the west wall of the building. There is parking along the rear of the site, green space and a detention basin. No parking on the street is allowed. The Zoning Ordinance does not identify indoor shooting ranges, but gun sales are mentioned. His client carries an inventory of high-end products and will not sell to anyone under 21 years of age. This location would not become a local "hang out" as a majority of their clients would consist of various police departments including Lombard, security firms, government and miscellaneous agencies. The storage of guns are located in a safe environment. They will have 5 security cameras on the outside and 7-8 security cameras on the inside. They would have two separate ranges: one virtual range and one indoor 24-stall shooting range constructed by Action Target. He explained how the user would use the range, how it is supervised by a range master, the air filtration system, and the removal and recycling of the lead by-product. They have provided soundproofing and noise will meet the standards set by the Industrial District.

Mr. Subach then introduced Jerome Soskin. He is one of the owners of the business who is here with his son to explain about the business.

Jerome Soskin, 240 Crescent Knoll, Libertyville, indicated he was one of the owners of the business and was a Chicago police officer for many years. A majority of their clients are from various police departments, government agencies, security firms, consulates and the airlines. He then gave examples of each entity. The facility is kept clean and is considered an adult business. They serve sportsmen and adults with gun cards. Their previous business was located in Bensenville for over 31 years until the City of Chicago acquired their property. During those 31

years they had no problems. They owned two other ranges prior to the Bensenville facility so they have had a lot of experience close to 43 years. This is a high-line family business which does not allow kids. Neighborhood groups wanting to come in and shoot are not allowed to use the facility. They are very careful about who they permit to use the range and that is why they continue to have insurance.

Mr. Subach then introduced Barry Soskin who would speak about the processes associated with acquiring a gun card, the transportation and storage of firearms and how they relate to the facility.

Barry Soskin, 2 E. Brittany Drive, Arlington Heights, stated they have been in business for over 31 years and their business encompasses not only the service and reloading portion, but he personally added a retail component in 1977. He explained the process for purchasing a firearm in the State of Illinois, which includes obtaining a Firearms Owners Identification card (FOID) which is renewable every 5 years. The client needs to produce this card to purchase a firearm and then the business has to get a certification number through the FBI. After the certification number is given, there is a 24-72 hour waiting period before you can take possession. State law allows an individual to purchase a long gun at 18 years of age. They do not allow that in their store, you must be 21 to purchase and if you are not 21 or with a parent or legal guardian being 21, you are not allowed in the store and are asked to leave. This is adult entertainment and geared toward the sporting industry and security and police trade.

He then addressed the transportation of firearms. He stated that a gun has to be unloaded, cased with ammunition being in a separate compartment and carried in the trunk of a vehicle or inaccessible to the driver. You have to enter the store in that fashion with the gun being secured in a case and not wrapped in a blanket or just carried in.

Mr. Subach then introduced Chris Hart of Action Target, the company that will construct the indoor range.

Chris Hart of Action Target, 1679 N. 980 West, Orem, Utah, stated that the company has been in business for over 20 years. They are the largest suppliers to military and law enforcement agencies for indoor range equipment. He explained the materials that will be used in the construction of the shooting range and adjacent areas, how it stops bullets safely and the collection of by-product material from impact. It can handle high power rounds and they plan their designs for the worst case trajectories. Every round will be collected. They use the same equipment specified by the FBI and he named the various training center and agencies that use this same equipment and design.

Commissioner Sweetser asked what his definition of high power is. Mr. Hart stated anything above 2,000 foot pounds. Commissioner Sweetser asked if there was a ceiling on the definition it's anything above that. Mr. Hart stated there is a loose definition in terms of high power maybe Mr. Haggerty could explain further.

Mr. Subach then introduced William Provencher who would address the purification system and noise control.

William Provencher, 7904 W. Deerwood, Palos Park, works with Cary's Heating & Air Conditioning and is one of their principals. They design, build and install gun range ventilation systems. NIOSH is the suggested engineering standard and they are governed by two basic agencies that regulate gun ranges – OSHA and the EPA. He explained each agency and their regulations. He explained how the air would be cleaned by using HEPA filters and the discharged air would be cleaner than hospital air. They will use a 100 percent outside air system and no contaminants and byproducts from the guns that will leave the range.

They will work with the local architect on noise and there will be no problem with meeting the local noise ordinances for the Village. They will use a heavier grade mass in their walls and will stay under the NIOSH suggested limits. The shooting range is located away from the offices so noise will not affect them. The east side of gun range will be used as a law enforcement range and will be the least used so noise won't be an issue. If you get right up against the wall you might hear a popping sound, but at the lot line you won't hear anything.

Mr. Subach then covered the factors in which consideration would be given for the text amendments:

1. *The degree to which the proposed amendment has general applicability within the Village at large and not intended to benefit specific property;*
The proposed amendments would be uniformly applied to the I – Limited Industrial District as a conditional use. The staff report states that from a land use standard this use fits better in the I district than the B4.

2. *The consistency of the proposed amendment with the objectives of this ordinance and the intent of the applicable zoning district regulations;*
The gun range operations are consistent with the purposes of the I District and suitable for industrial activities that don't create hazards. All gun range operations will be conducted indoors and the noise created will be less than what the I District standards provide for. The air discharged will be cleaner and there will be no hazardous materials discharged from the use.

3. *The degree to which the proposed amendment would create nonconformity;*
The text amendment would not create a non conformity as this use does not exist as a permitted or conditional use and therefore would not create a nonconformity.

4. *The degree to which the proposed amendment would make this ordinance more permissive;*
This proposed amendment will expand the use for the I District, but will also grant the ability for the Village to control the use.

5. *The consistency of the proposed amendment with the Comprehensive Plan;*
The gun range will be less of a strain on Village services than a typical industrial use. Most of the traffic will be similar to a retail establishment and there will be no hazardous materials discharged as a result of this use.

6. The degree to which the proposed amendment is consistent with village policy as established in previous rulings on petitions involving similar circumstances. The Village of Lombard has a policy to promote new businesses and has a history of amending their code to address changing business needs. They have approved athletic training centers and the range is just that.

He continued with their response to standards that must be met in order to grant conditional use approval.

1. That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use will not be detrimental to, or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare; This use will not be detrimental to the welfare of the Village of Lombard. They have been in business in Bensenville for over 30 years, are an indoor activity and will not endanger the public. A range master is employed and makes sure all activities follows required all safety precautions.

2. That the conditional use will not be injurious to the uses and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, not substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood in which it is to be located; All the surrounding properties are industrial. The closest residential is over 1/2 mile away. There will be no additional traffic and all traffic will enter from North Avenue. They will comply with all state and federal regulations. The building is all brick masonry and is soundproofed and the building is fully sprinkled.

3. That the establishment of the conditional use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district; The north industrial park is built out, with the exception of the property to the north, with light industrial users. This request will not hinder any development in the area. .

4. That the adequate public utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been or will be provided; They are not changing the outside of the building. Everything will be self-contained. The 41 parking spaces will remain and staff has requested that the employee parking be contained to the rear of the building, which is acceptable.

5. That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets; As all traffic will enter the site off of Cortland Avenue, which can only be access from North Avenue.

6. That the proposed conditional use is not contrary to the objectives of the current Comprehensive Plan for the Village of Lombard; and, The Comprehensive Plan doesn't give specifics, but wants the user to maintain the objectives, which his client will.

7. Abide by all Village ordinance and codes.

His client will abide by all Village of Lombard codes. They met with the Fire Department staff and will meet those requirements they place upon them. They will meet the Sign Code as well. The Village has recommended approval and staff has asked that they cap the capacity at 103 people, which they agree to. Staff has requested that employee parking be located in the rear, which they have agreed to, and staff has requested the repair of the drive aisle, which they agree to.

Lastly, Mr. Subach mentioned that they have met with the owner of the building to the east and after showing them their presentation and answering their questions, they had no objections and looked forward to having us there. He submitted the photos and the site plan and thanked the Commissioners for their time.

Commissioner Olbrysh asked if the neighbor they met with was Faraz International located at 240 Cortland because that building abuts your building. Mr. Subach responded, yes.

Chairperson Ryan then opened the meeting for public comment.

To speak in favor of the petition were:

Edward Bryant, 527 N. Main Street, Lombard, stated he is the pastor of St. Timothy Lutheran Church. His family has been residents for 7 years and he urged approval of the petition. He is practically a neighbor of the proposed use and has reassured his neighbors that his experience with this group has been positive in the past. He mentioned he has been a recreational shooter and all of his family also enjoys this sport. He had used the range in Bensenville before it closed by having an annual membership. He enjoyed the place to practice and met many people. He was pleased with the caliber of people attracted to the range and how they used their discretion as to whom could be a user of the facility. He stated that while there might be some concerns about noise and clientele, he reassured his neighbors that they will be happy to have these people as part of their neighborhood.

William Pugh, 30W025 Hurlingham, Warrenville, stated he is an employee of Gun World and one of the range officers employed by them. He is a certified instructor and logs in every person who comes into the range by their identification numbers. There is a check system there and not allowing unrattanted people. He mentioned he is a tool and dye maker by trade and works in the same industrial area. He compared the noise from the shooting range to the 600 ton punch press they use and stated that if you stand next to the punch press and shot a gun all you would hear is the punch press because the noise emitted from the press makes more noise. The noise from the shooting range will be contained inside the building and you won't be able to hear it outside the building. The building will also be further away from residential areas.

Dave Nass, 130 N. Lincoln, Lombard, stated he has been a resident of Lombard for over 25 years. He is a competitive target pistol shooter. He was also a patron of Gun World in Bensenville where he practiced and trained. He mentioned how he has to drive to DesPlaines or Aurora in order to shoot. As a resident of Lombard, he would rather spend his money here. He supports the proposal, the acceptance of his sport and urged a favorable vote.

Jim Hagearty, 22W618 Burr Oak Drive, Glen Ellyn, stated that he is a 43 year resident. He gave his credentials, which could impact the law enforcement and academic communities. He gave his education background, stated he is a graduate of 3 police academies and currently the highest ranking master firearms instructor in the State of Illinois. He has been a college professor, as the lead instructor at Triton College. They have processed security officers, private detectives, armed alarm contractors, and he is involved in their criminal justice program. They go through 500-1,000 students a year and train them in firearms to Illinois state standards. For the past 20 years, they have been using the Gun World facility in Bensenville. They chose them because they couldn't find a better facility and their quality. Their classes range from 15-20 students so they desperately need a good indoor range that can accommodate them. He has worked with Action Target on their federal range, various other teams, has worked in Middle Eastern countries in training and has never seen a better more well managed facility than the one operated by the Soskin Family. He asked them to support the facility as he trains military and law enforcement. Lastly, he mentioned that he founded a state junior pistol team, which needs to be trained in an indoor facility. The Soskin facility can provide us with that facility and he encouraged them to vote in favor of the petition.

Robert Savini, 11925 S. Wolf Drive, Plainfield, stated that he is President of the Tri County Revolver and Pistol League and President of the Northwest Gun Club. He had been renting the use of the indoor range from the Soskins and their league has been using this range for competition matches. They selected their range because it was the best equipped range and they had the facilities to accommodate their team shootings as well as for the general public. He mentioned the various facilities they have had to find and the difficulties associated with it. The membership of the range includes upstanding citizens and members of the various clubs which include security personnel and police agencies. He offers his words of encouragement to allow the Soskins to have the range because there are not a lot of ranges in the area.

Greg Hull, 614 Comstock Avenue, Elmhurst, stated he has lived there for 31 years and has shot off and on at Gun World. He belongs to a shooting sports club with the American Legion Roselle Post 1084 and has had been shooting there since 2002. He is all for the shooting club in Lombard.

Jerry Peterson, 1152 Leicester Court, Wheaton, stated he has lived there for 40 years. He has had an annual membership with the Bensenville Club for the last 3 years and it was an extraordinary place to go. They were courteous, kind and knowledgeable. He mentioned how he needed help with a weapon and how impressed a police officer was when he noticed that he had a trigger lock on the gun. They expect highly professional people who enjoy the sport. He mentioned how he had served 3 years as a naval officer and included his experiences. He has seen a lot of firing in his time and noted his personal experiences. He was a member of a Naval Pistol team and has competed against 200 other people. He enjoys the sport and wants to get back into shooting at targets. He was just a customer of Gun World who loved every minute of it. He assured the Commissioners that they are very professional people.

Robert Lenz, 7911 N. Cortland Street, Elmwood Park, stated he was a retired federal investigator. He agrees with the previous testimony about having security and police officers is good for the area and he mentioned the sale of firearms nowadays. By opening such a facility

that enables people to train and practice, you just never know if you might prevent an accidental shooting and save a life.

To speak in opposition to the petition were:

Jim Pekay, 244 Cimarron Road, but has a business at 260 Cortland and would be a potential neighbor. He stated he canvassed the local people around the proposed site and everyone was against it, other than the Faraz Company. His concern deals with parking. We do not believe there is enough if you have 24 ranges, a virtual setup and retail. We believe there are better locations with better parking conditions than next to an industrial park where they are at. They don't mind the business, but just not in an industrial complex.

Mike Miller, 1133 N. Ridge, stated he is the General Manager of a company located east of the site. He is against the gun club because they have concerns about property values, insurance, parking, road infrastructure and the capacity for increased traffic. The roads are already in bad shape and this will be an additional burden and should be addressed.

The petitioner rebutted. Mr. Subach addressed the issue of parking. They will have a maximum of six employees on site working at one time. They drew the site plan for 10 employees. They won't be parking on the street as all parking will be contained on site. Village staff addressed this issue by applying the amusement standards for parking, which requires 30 spaces. They will have 41. Staff also capped their capacity to 103 users on site at one time. His client stated that the capacity is more than adequate. Most of their business users are professionals and will frequent this business after hours when people in the industrial area have vacated.

Mr. Subach then addressed the property values. Right now the building has a store that sells retail paintings, which they have been doing outdoors. He doesn't see any evidence of why an indoor shooting range would decrease property values and there has been no professional testimony to the contrary.

As far the traffic issue, the roads going back to Cortland are rough but Village budgets are constrained and industrial streets are usually last to be done. Their business won't require the need for any heavy trucks to make an impact.

Chairperson Ryan then requested the staff report.

Michael Toth, Planner I, indicated that staff has drafted the following IDRC reports to submit to the public record in their entirety.

PC 09-11

The petitioner intends to utilize the interior of the western tenant space within the existing building located on the subject property for an indoor firing range with the ancillary sale of firearms in the I - Limited Industrial District. There are currently no uses (permitted or conditional) that would allow such an activity in the I - Limited Industrial District; as such, the petitioner is requesting text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance to allow Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) as a conditional use in the I - Limited Industrial District. The petitioner has also filed for a companion conditional use approval

for a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) (PC 09-12) to allow the firearms shooting range with retail sales on the subject property.

The very nature of a shooting range and firearm sales is one that requires special attention. Neither use is permissible in the I – Limited Industrial District as either a permitted or conditional use; however, if someone wanted to establish an outdoor shooting range with associated firearm sales, this could be done in the B4 – Corridor Commercial District as a conditional use. There are no public shooting ranges currently located in the Village, but the sale of firearms occurs in a number of sporting goods stores. The sale of firearms is permissible as an ancillary function to those sporting goods stores. Also, the I – Limited Industrial District currently permits Mechanical Parts Reconditioning as a permitted use, which the proposed gunsmith element could be characterized as.

From a land use perspective, it is staff's opinion that the types of activities associated with the proposed use may be more suitable for the I – Limited Industrial District. The Zoning Ordinance describes the I – Limited Industrial District as *an area intended to provide an environment suitable for industrial activities that do not create appreciable nuisance or hazards, or that require a pleasant, hazard-and-nuisance-free environment*. As with any firearms shooting range, there may potentially be hazardous material removal (lead) and minimal noise disturbances. With the use of modern methods of lead disposal and soundproofing, any nuisances associated with a firearms shooting gallery should be kept below an appreciable level of disturbance. As the proposed text amendment would add the proposed use as a conditional use, all departments will have the opportunity to review each case on an individual basis with ultimate approval coming from the Village Board.

Comments made by the Board of Trustees during original petition suggest that certain areas within the Village, although located in the I – Limited Industrial District, may not be suitable for the proposed use. Approximation to schools, churches and residential areas was discussed as underlying concern. As proposed, there will be no specific provisions that would prevent the proposed use from being located adjacent to a specific land use. However, as the proposed use would be classified as a conditional use in the I – Limited Industrial District, each case would be viewed on its own merit and the surrounding land uses would then be taken into consideration.

The proposed amendments would be uniformly applied to the I – limited industrial district as a conditional use. All similar use proposals in the I – limited industrial district would automatically be subject to the discretion of the Village Board. From a land use perspective, there are uses in the I – limited industrial district that are similar to the proposed use. The Zoning Ordinance was amended in 2007 to allow learning centers as a conditional use in the I – limited industrial district and the definition of learning center was also amended to include athletic training facilities. Like athletic training facilities, a shooting range could also be considered a *specialized indoor facility provided for the instruction and training needs of athletes*. Shooting is considered a sport and the proposed facility would be specialized to provide an environment where patrons can hone their shooting skills by means of target practice.

The I – Limited Industrial District is intended to provide an environment suitable for industrial activities that do not create appreciable nuisance or hazards, or that require a pleasant, hazard-and-nuisance-free environment.

The I – Limited Industrial District is intended as an employment generating area and may create significant traffic and other impacts; as such, lands within the I District shall be designated as “areas of critical concern”. In staff’s opinion, the types of activities associated with the proposed use may be more suitable for the I – Limited Industrial District. The proposed use would be conducted entirely within the confines of the existing building; however, there will be hazardous material removal (lead) and minimal noise disturbances associated with the firing range, which would be better suited for an industrial area.

The proposed text amendment would not create any non-conforming situations as the proposed use has previously not existed as a permitted or conditional use within the I – Limited Industrial District.

The proposed amendment will not make the ordinance more permissive by right; however, the amendments will allow any similar use proposals to petition for a conditional use without requiring the companion text amendment approval

As firearm shooting ranges will require the removal of hazardous materials (lead), the activities associated with a shooting range could be considered light industrial by nature. The subject use could be applied to all light industrial properties throughout the Village; however, discretion as to whether a particular site is suitable for such a use would be determined by the conditional use process.

The Village has a history of amending its Zoning Ordinance to address evolving circumstances presented by petition or to clarify the intent of the Ordinance provisions. In 2007, text amendments to the Lombard Zoning Ordinance were adopted to allow athletic training facilities and/or learning centers to be listed as a conditional use within the I Limited Industrial District. This amendment is similar in nature and scope

Staff finds that the standards for text amendments have been met. Furthermore, staff is recommending approval of PC 09-11.

PC 09-12

The petitioner is requesting conditional use approval to allow a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) in the I - Limited Industrial District. As such, granting the conditional use for a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) for the subject property would allow the petitioner the right to establish a firearms shooting range with the ancillary retail sales of firearms.

The western tenant space in the existing building would mainly be used for the monitored use of firearms with ancillary sales of associated product. The building is of brick/masonry construction and there is a dividing wall separating the subject site from the tenant space to the east, located at

240 Cortland Avenue. The proposed layout for the building would consist of three main areas. The twenty-four (24) stall firing range would be the largest component of the building at 9,784 square feet. The sales retail component would be considered ancillary, and it would be 2,662 square feet in area. The remaining 7,674 square feet of floor area includes miscellaneous features such as a reloading area, gunsmith area, office/storage space, lockers and a virtual shooting range.

The firing range itself is prefabricated (primarily constructed from steel) and will include a HEPA air filtration system to remove lead particulates from the air and soundproofing to eliminate ambient noise. The petitioner has stated that the firing range will comply with State and Federal EPA regulations and guidelines. A secured storage area will be located behind the firing range for the removal and recycling of the lead associated with the bi-product of the shooting range. This area will have direct access to the outside for removal and disposal. The Fire Department/Bureau of Inspectional Services has conducted a cursory review of the entire plan and will apply all pertinent building codes during the permit review process.

The hours of operation for the proposed use would vary from the week through the weekend (Monday through Friday 10 am - 10 pm, Saturday 9 am - 6 pm & Sunday 9 am - 5 pm). Twelve (12) security cameras will monitor the property – seven (7) cameras inside and five (5) cameras outside. A range officer will be present at the firing positions at all times.

The petitioner has also indicated that a majority of their business will most likely consist of municipal law enforcement agencies and private security firms. Under State law, any individuals wishing to purchase or physically touch a firearm and ammunition would need to possess a valid Firearms Owner's Identification (FOID) card.

The proposed site plan provides for a total of 41 parking spaces. The Zoning Ordinance requires a specific amount of handicap accessible parking spaces based on the number of required parking spaces. As 39 regular parking spaces are provided, two (2) accessible parking spaces are required.

Although the occupancy capacity for the building cannot be fully determined until all Building Code issues have been evaluated, staff is proposing that the actual parking spaces provided determine the maximum occupancy for the building. The petitioner has indicated that they may have up to 10 employees; however, as a condition of approval, the petitioner will only be required to provide a total of at least six (6) delineated employee parking spaces in the northeastern portion of the parking lot. The employee parking spaces are required to reduce the amount of customer traffic in the loading areas. As a condition of approval, the number of occupants will be capped based upon the number of provided parking spaces and/or the Building Code determination whichever is less.

The Comprehensive Plan recommends Light Industrial at this location. The activities associated with the firing range that would be conducted on site will require the removal and recycling of hazardous materials (lead); as such, the use could be considered light industrial by nature. The retail sales component would be categorized as a commercial use as the sale of firearms is already permissible in a number of commercial districts. Also, the I – Limited Industrial District

currently permits Mechanical Parts Reconditioning as a permitted use, which the proposed gunsmith element could be characterized as. Based upon the above information, staff finds that the proposed use meets the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan.

From a land use perspective, it is staff's opinion that the types of activities associated with the proposed use may be more suitable for the I – Limited Industrial District. There will be hazardous material removal and recycling (lead), which would be better suited for a light industrial area because of the proximity away from residential areas. With the use of modern methods of lead disposal and soundproofing, any nuisances associated with a firearms shooting gallery should be kept below an appreciable level of disturbance. As a condition of approval, the petitioner will be required to keep any noise disturbances internal to the building.

The distant proximity to any residential, educational and religious institutions also makes the subject property within the I – Limited Industrial District more appropriate. As depicted in Appendix "A", the closest residential property is one half mile from the subject property, the nearest private school, School of Expressive Arts and Learning is more than 1300 feet away and the nearest religious institution is no closer than one half mile.

Staff finds that the standards for conditional uses have been met. Furthermore, staff is recommending approval of PC 09-12 subject to the 8 conditions noted in the staff report.

Chairperson Ryan then opened the meeting for comments among the Commissioners.

Commissioner Sweetser stated she was curious as to why the Village Board recommended that the petitioner look north of North Avenue for a different location. Mr. Toth stated that more than 800 feet of the previously proposed location. With the site they are proposing tonight, they would be ½ mile way from any residential areas.

Commissioner Sweetser confirmed that the closest school is within 1,300 feet. Mr. Toth answered that it is a private school. Every public school and church is ½ mile away or more. Commissioner Sweetser commented that as there are currently no guidelines and as the Village Board did not provide any guidance, proximity is a matter of chance and we should provide guidance. Just because the school is private and forces it be in this area, doesn't mean that the people that go there are any different than people that attend a school located in a residential area.

Commissioner Nelson asked what the short loading zone is located in the back of the building. Mr. Subach indicated that it is for the garbage enclosures. Commissioner Nelson suggested that it takes up space that could potentially be used for parking. Mr. Subach stated that there is an easement that the building to the east has for unloading their trucks so we agreed that there would be no parking along there.

Commissioner Olbrysh thanked the petitioner for a fine presentation. He felt that this is an ideal location for the facility. He wanted to acknowledge some of the public comments previously mentioned which included the types of conditional uses that the Village now approves for the industrial district. When he looks over the list of some of the conditional uses that the Zoning

Ordinance already provides for in that district, it lists such things as a compost collection facility, recycling collection centers, stadiums, auditoriums and arenas, and helicopter pads. Frankly, a shooting range is more of an acceptable business than the ones he just read.

Commissioner Sweetser stated that there was an arbitrary attempt in order to come up with parking standards whereby the amusement standard was used. Looking at the capacity being granted of 93-103 persons and the number of parking spaces, she asked if this standard would hold up should there be a simultaneous use of classes and shooters. This question specifically relates to the letters of dissent, which mentioned inadequate parking.

Mr. Toth deferred to the business owner to address the question of parking spaces and whether the number of parking spaces provided would suffice should there be a class held on site together with regular shooters being present. Mr. Subach stated that at their previous location that had 30 parking spaces for 31 shooting stalls and they had a larger retail component. In the proposed location they will have 24 shooting stalls with a smaller retail space so they think the 41 parking spaces will be more than enough. If parking does become an issue, they can look for the architect to relocate the enclosures and put employee parking along the rear of the building to pick up some additional parking. This would not hinder the turn around for the parking to the east.

Commissioner Cooper asked if there was an opportunity for street parking if in fact there are more than 41 people at the facility. Commissioner Burke noted a photo with a "no parking sign" in the front of the building and several other buildings. Mr. Stilling noted there isn't street parking due to the industrial nature of the area. Mr. Subach stated that the larger groups are scheduled for a certain time and date so as not to conflict with the public coming in.

Commissioner Cooper asked if there was an overflow plan. Mr. Subach answered that this will be the same as with any other type of business. If the capacity is 103 people and they are at capacity, they would have to turn people away. They could post signage in the area indicating no parking or the vehicle could be towed, similar to what other businesses do.

Commissioner Sweetser asked the other Commissioners if they had any concerns or thoughts about the proximity of this business to a park or school and whether the 1,300 feet should be a minimum. She mentioned that in the future, there could be a vacancy in one of the buildings and another type of business could move in. The current configuration has no bearing on what might be allowed. She wondered if that should be worth considering.

Commissioner Flint confirmed Commissioner Sweetser's last comment to mean that if a school opens up in the industrial park that there be a certain standard in place as to how close the school could be to the shooting range. He commented that if someone opens a school in that area, it would be at their discretion and they should know about the shooting range and take that into consideration. Commissioner Sweetser stated that they could be disadvantaged by the circumstances and she thought it might be something to think about.

Commissioner Cooper confirmed the name of the school that was within 1,300 feet. Mr. Stilling answered that it was the School for Expressive Arts. Commissioner Cooper then noted that the

school didn't show up on the buffer analysis that was attached to the staff report. Mr. Stilling answered that it didn't show up as a point but we made reference to it as being the nearest educational facility.

Chairperson Ryan stated that if the facility is safe for customers and adjacent buildings, than being 1,300 feet away should be no problem. Commissioner Sweetser answered that being "safe" is in the eye of the beholder, especially where children are involved.

Chairperson Ryan stated they would take two separate votes.

After due consideration of the petition and the testimony presented, the Plan Commission found that the proposal complies with the standards required by the Lombard Zoning Ordinance and therefore moved that the Plan Commission find that the findings included as part of the Inter-departmental Review Report be the findings of the Plan Commission and therefore by a roll call vote of 6 to 0 recommends to the Corporate Authorities approval of **PC 09-11**.

After due consideration of the petition and the testimony presented, the Plan Commission found that the proposal complies with the standards required by the Lombard Zoning Ordinance; and, therefore, moved that the Plan Commission find that the findings included as part of the Inter-departmental Review Report be the findings of the Plan Commission and therefore, by a roll call vote of 6 to 0, recommends to the Corporate Authorities approval of the zoning actions associated with **PC 09-12** subject to the following conditions:

1. The subject property shall be developed in substantial compliance with Floor Plan/Site Plan, prepared by Thomas Budzik Architecture PC, dated April 13, 2009, except as such plans may be changed to meet Village Codes and the following conditions below:

2. Soundproofing for the proposed firing positions shall be provided so as to eliminate any external noise.

3. All comments in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report shall be satisfactorily addressed.

4. The petitioner shall secure a building permit from the Village for all required improvements.


5. The existing commercial driveway apron shall be reconstructed to Village standards.

6. All dumpsters located on the subject property shall be screened pursuant to Section 155.710 of the Zoning Ordinance.

7. Occupancy shall be based on the lesser of the two (2) options:
a. 103 persons, or
b. As determined by the Building Code

8. A minimum of six (6) employee parking spaces shall be delineated with the proper signage in the northern portion of the parking lot, in a manner acceptable to the Director of Community Development.

Respectfully,

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD

Donald Ryan, Chairperson
Lombard Plan Commission

c. Petitioner
Lombard Plan Commission

H:\CD\WORD\USERS\R\PC\CCAS\SES\2009\PC 09-11 & PC 09-12\Referral Letter.doc

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW GROUP REPORT

TO: Lombard Plan Commission

FROM: Department of
Community Development

PREPARED BY: Michael S. Toth
Planner I

TITLE

PC 09-12: 250 Cortland (Article II Range Inc.): The petitioner requests that the Village grant a conditional use, pursuant to Section 155.420(C) of the Zoning Ordinance to allow a "Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product)" within the I - Limited Industrial District:

GENERAL INFORMATION

Petitioner:

Jerome Soskin
240 Crescent Knoll
Libertyville, IL 60048

Property Owner:

Barton Brieghner
c/o Attorney Joseph DiPalmisano
19 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 900
Chicago, IL 60603

PROPERTY INFORMATION

Existing Zoning:

I – Limited Industrial District

Existing Land Use:

Vacant Commercial Building

Size of Property:

Approximately 40,807 square feet

Comprehensive Plan:

Light Industrial

SURROUNDING ZONING AND LAND USE

North:

I – Limited Industrial District Planned Development; developed as a detention pond.

South:

I – Limited Industrial District; developed as Tool & Die manufacturing, known as Tella Tools.

East: I – Limited Industrial District; developed as office/warehouse, known as Faraz International Group.
West: I – Limited Industrial District; developed as a commercial strip center.

ANALYSIS

SUBMITTALS

This report is based on the following documents filed with the Department of Community Development on April 13, 2009:

1. Public Hearing Application.
2. Response to Standards.
3. ALTA/ACSM Land and Title Survey, prepared by Harrington Land Surveying Ltd., dated October 17, 2007.
4. Floor Plan/Site Plan, prepared by Thomas Budzik Architecture PC, dated April 13, 2009.
5. Photographs of the subject property, submitted by the petitioner.

DESCRIPTION

The petitioner intends to utilize the interior of the western tenant space within the existing building located on the subject property for an indoor firing range with the ancillary sale of firearms. Such a use is currently not permissible as a permitted or conditional use in the I – Limited Industrial District; however, the companion text amendment filed in association with this petition (PC 09-11) would allow the aforementioned use to be subject to conditional use approval. Therefore, the petitioner is requesting conditional use approval to allow a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) in the I - Limited Industrial District. As such, granting the conditional use for a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) for the subject property would allow the petitioner the right to establish a firearms shooting range with the ancillary retail sales of firearms.

Special Note: This petition assumes approval of PC 09-11. If PC 09-11 is not approved, this petition cannot be considered as it is currently proposed.

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW COMMENTS

PUBLIC WORKS

Engineering

Public Works Engineering has no comments at this time.

Utilities

Public Works conducted a cross connection inspection at the subject property on January 10, 2008. They did not pass because they needed to upgrade their fire sprinkler single check valve to a double check detector assembly (DCDA). If it has not already been addressed, this improvement would need to be made.

PRIVATE ENGINEERING SERVICES

The Private Engineering Services Division of Community Development has no comments.

BUILDING & FIRE

The Fire Department/Bureau of Inspectional Services has the following comments regarding this petitioner's request;

1) The use(s) will be required to meet the current fire, life safety and building code requirements (i.e.) separation of use(s), fire suppression and detection.

2) The petitioner will be required to meet all Local, State and Federal standards for storing and discharging firearms within the structure.

3) The petitioner shall comply with all Local State and Federal environmental requirements for storing, discharging and collecting ammunition.

POLICE

The Police Department has reviewed the above referenced Plan Commission items and the following comments are submitted as part of the Inter-Departmental Review Committee process:

1) Gun World was a business for many years in the Bensenville community. The Bensenville Police Chief stated that there were few problems associated with the business. There were never any problems associated with the business as it relates to having a range facility or their clientele. Additionally, there were no problems with criminal activity around the business. The building in Bensenville was alarmed and described as being a secure facility.

2) Given the length of time that this gun range and retail facility was in Bensenville, the police department has no significant concerns with the proposed plans.

PLANNING

As previously mentioned, the western tenant space in the existing building would mainly be used for the monitored use of firearms with ancillary sales of associated product. The building is of brick/masonry construction and there is a dividing wall separating the subject site from the tenant space to the east, located at 240 Cortland Avenue. The proposed layout for the building would consist of three main areas. The twenty-four (24) stall firing range would be the largest component

of the building at 9,784 square feet. The sales retail component would be considered ancillary, and it would be 2,662 square feet in area. The remaining 7,674 square feet of floor area includes miscellaneous features such as a reloading area, gunsmith area, office/storage space, lockers and a virtual shooting range.

The firing range itself is prefabricated (primarily constructed from steel) and will include a HEPA air filtration system to remove lead particulates from the air and soundproofing to eliminate ambient noise. The petitioner has stated that the firing range will comply with State and Federal EPA regulations and guidelines. A secured storage area will be located behind the firing range for the removal and recycling of the lead associated with the bi-product of the shooting range. This area will have direct access to the outside for removal and disposal. The Fire Department/Bureau of Inspectional Services has conducted a cursory review of the entire plan and will apply all pertinent building codes during the permit review process.

The hours of operation for the proposed use would vary from the week through the weekend (Monday through Friday 10 am - 10 pm, Saturday 9 am - 6 pm & Sunday 9 am - 5 pm). Twelve (12) security cameras will monitor the property – seven (7) cameras inside and five (5) cameras outside. A range officer will be present at the firing positions at all times.

The petitioner has also indicated that a majority of their business will most likely consist of municipal law enforcement agencies and private security firms. Under State law, any individuals wishing to purchase or physically touch a firearm and ammunition would need to possess a valid Firearms Owner's Identification (FOID) card.

Existing Site conditions

Parking

The proposed site plan provides for a total of 41 parking spaces. The Zoning Ordinance requires a specific amount of handicap accessible parking spaces based on the number of required parking spaces. As 39 regular parking spaces are provided, two (2) accessible parking spaces are required.

The Zoning Ordinance has no available parking provisions to address the proposed shooting range use. As a means of establishing parking guidelines for the subject use, the Amusement Establishment parking provisions will be utilized to address the shooting range component. Under the Amusement Establishment provisions, one (1) parking space must be supplied for every three (3) person's capacity with one (1) space allocated per employee. Although the occupancy capacity for the building cannot be fully determined until all Building Code issues have been evaluated, staff is proposing that the actual parking spaces provided determine the maximum occupancy for the building. Based on this scenario, the petitioner will provide a total of 41 spaces. The petitioner has indicated that they may have up to 10 employees; however, as a condition of approval, the petitioner will only be required to provide a total of at least six (6) delineated employee parking spaces in the northeastern portion of the parking lot. The employee parking spaces are required to reduce the amount of customer traffic in the loading areas. As a result, a total of 35 spaces would be available to the customers of the facility. Based on the Amusement Establishment provisions (1

space per 3 persons capacity), occupancy would be capped at 93 persons (31 spaces x 3 persons per space), plus 10 employees for a total of 103 person's capacity. As a condition of approval, the number of occupants will be capped based upon the number of provided parking spaces and/or the Building Code determination (whichever is less).

Easement

The tenant to the east (240 Cortland) does have an access easement over the subject site to utilize their access drive for deliveries. The easement does not grant them permission to park on the site or use any spaces. It merely gives the owner of 240 Cortland access to their loading area. To ensure that the loading at 240 Cortland does not interfere with the parking spaces located at the rear of the subject property, staff is recommending a condition requiring that employee parking shall only occur in the northern portion of the parking lot and that the employee spaces shall be delineated with the proper signage.

Compatibility with the Comprehensive Plan

The Comprehensive Plan recommends Light Industrial at this location. The North Lombard Light Industrial Area is specifically described in the Comprehensive Plan as an established industrial area. Given this circumstance, the very nature of the North Lombard Light Industrial Area can be generally summarized as providing mainly light industrial uses. As the petitioner plans to establish a firearms shooting range with ancillary retail sales of the associated product, the types of activities being conducted on site could be categorized under multiple land use types. The activities associated with the firing range that would be conducted on site will require the removal and recycling of hazardous materials (lead); as such, the use could be considered light industrial by nature. The retail sales component would be categorized as a commercial use as the sale of firearms is already permissible in a number of commercial districts. Also, the I – Limited Industrial District currently permits Mechanical Parts Reconditioning as a permitted use, which the proposed gunsmith element could be characterized as.

Based upon the above information, staff finds that the proposed use meets the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan.

Compatibility with Surrounding Land Uses

The property is surrounded by light industrial uses on all sides. Other tenants occupying those surrounding uses include a variety of "light" industrial uses, largely warehousing and distribution. A majority of trips generated by the proposed firearms shooting range would most likely occur after 5:00 p.m., which is after the typical operating hours of the surrounding businesses. From a land use perspective, staff finds that a shooting range is compatible with the surrounding land uses.

From a land use perspective, it is staff's opinion that the types of activities associated with the proposed use may be more suitable for the I – Limited Industrial District. There will be hazardous material removal and recycling (lead), which would be better suited for a light industrial area because of the proximity away from residential areas. With the use of modern methods of lead disposal and soundproofing, any nuisances associated with a firearms shooting gallery should be

kept below an appreciable level of disturbance. As a condition of approval, the petitioner will be required to keep any noise disturbances internal to the building.

The distant proximity to any residential, educational and religious institutions also makes the subject property within the I – Limited Industrial District more appropriate. As depicted in Appendix “A”, the closest residential property is one half mile from the subject property, the nearest private school, School of Expressive Arts and Learning is more than 1300 feet away and the nearest religious institution is no closer than one half mile.

Additional Considerations

In 2008, the petitioner proposed (as part of PC 08-25 & PC 08-26) a parallel text amendment and conditional use to utilize the interior of the vacant Pitney Bowes building located at 355 Eisenhower Lane South for a similar indoor firing range and ancillary sale of firearms. The Plan Commission recommended approval of both petitions; however, the text amendment was subsequently denied by the Village Board, which resulted in the conditional use not being heard.

Standards for Conditional Uses

For a conditional use to be approved, the standards for conditional uses must be met. Staff has reviewed the petitioner’s plan and the standards and offers the following comments:

1. *That the establishment, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use will not be detrimental to, or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare;*

The conditional use process allows staff the opportunity to review each applicable petition in context with the surrounding area in regards to health, safety, and general welfare. As indicated in the submittal package, the prefabricated indoor shooting range will be constructed of steel and would be completely enclosed within the confines of the existing brick building. The petitioner has also indicated that they will maintain a safe environment inside and outside of the building. The use of security cameras will be used to monitor activity inside the building and also on the outside grounds of the subject property. The petitioner has also indicated that a majority of their business will most likely consist of municipal law enforcement agencies and private security firms.

2. *That the conditional use will not be injurious to the uses and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, not substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood in which it is to be located;*

The proposed use would be conducted entirely within the confines of the existing brick building; however, there will be hazardous material removal and recycling (lead), which would be better suited for an industrial area. The surrounding properties within the North Lombard Light Industrial Area are light industrial by nature and may also require the removal of hazardous materials used for production. As such, the proposed use would be consistent with those surrounding light industrial properties.

3. *That the establishment of the conditional use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district;*

The petitioner plans to conduct business entirely within the confines of the existing building with no site improvements planned for the future. From a land use perspective, the type of use being proposed is compatible with the surrounding properties; as such, the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding properties would not be adversely affected.

4. *That the adequate public utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities have been or will be provided;*

As the petitioner intends to utilize the vacant portion of the building on the subject property and not alter the existing site, any necessary infrastructural improvements are already in existence. Should any infrastructural improvements be deemed necessary, the IDRC comments provided within this report shall address such improvements.

5. *That adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets;*

The existing drive aisle leading into the property at 250 Cortland Avenue is twenty-three (23) feet wide, where a minimum of twenty-four (24) feet is required for a two-way drive aisle. As the drive aisle already exists it is considered legal non-conforming. The drive aisle is however in a state of disrepair. As such, improvements to the existing ingress/egress will be required as a condition of approval.

6. *That the proposed conditional use is not contrary to the objectives of the current Comprehensive Plan for the Village of Lombard; and,*

The Comprehensive Plan recommends Light Industrial at this location. The North Lombard Light Industrial Area is specifically described in the Comprehensive Plan as an established industrial area with some vacant land available. Although described in the Comprehensive Plan, there are no recommendations for the specific types of uses that should occur within the North Lombard Light Industrial Area, only the general recommendation of light industrial land uses.

The activities associated with the firing range that would be conducted on site will require the removal and recycling of hazardous materials (lead); as such, the use could be considered light industrial by nature. Also, the I – Limited Industrial District currently permits Mechanical Parts Reconditioning as a permitted use, which the proposed gunsmith element could be characterized as. The retail sales component would be categorized as a commercial use as the sale of firearms is already permissible in a number of commercial districts. As the bulk of the proposed use could be categorized as light industrial, the proposed use is not contrary to the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan.

Encourage property owners to maintain and enhance the overall condition of existing industrial, business and office developments.

This policy refers to the development in a property maintenance context. Not only would the petitioner need to demonstrate proper property stewardship, future property maintenance must also be carried on through the life of the business.

New development shall have adequate off-street parking and loading facilities.

The ability to provide adequate parking and loading facilities should be provided for all light industrial sites. As previously mentioned, the subject property supplies a total of 41 parking spaces. Loading bays are also provided on the northern portion of the building, which can be used for the delivery of goods associated with the business. The 41 parking spaces provided would be adequate for the proposed use.

7. That the conditional use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may, in each instance, be modified pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission.

Staff has reviewed the petition and if it were to be approved, the applicable code issues and/or conditions of approval would be addressed during the permit review process. As is, the site conforms to all requirements set forth in the Zoning Ordinance, with respect to the use. The petitioner would need to receive an updated Certificate of Occupancy/Zoning Certificate that permitted the proposed conditional uses.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the above findings, the Inter-Departmental Review Committee recommends that the petition as presented does meet the standards set forth in the Zoning Ordinance and recommends that Plan Commission make the following motion recommending **approval** of this petition:


Based on the submitted petition and the testimony presented, the requested text amendments and conditional uses **comply** with the standards required by the Lombard Zoning Ordinance; and, therefore, I move that the Plan Commission accept the findings and recommendations of the Inter-Departmental Report as the findings of the Plan Commission and I recommend to the Corporate Authorities **approval** of PC 09-12; subject to the following conditions:

1. The subject property shall be developed in substantial compliance with Floor Plan/Site Plan, prepared by Thomas Budzik Architecture PC, dated April 13, 2009, except as such plans may be changed to meet Village Codes and the following conditions below:

2. Soundproofing for the proposed firing positions shall be provided so as to eliminate any external noise.

3. All comments in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report shall be satisfactorily addressed.
4. The petitioner shall secure a building permit from the Village for all required improvements.
5. The existing commercial driveway apron shall be reconstructed to Village standards.
6. All dumpsters located on the subject property shall be screened pursuant to Section 155.710 of the Zoning Ordinance.
7. Occupancy shall be based on the lesser of the two (2) options:
 - a. 103 persons, or
 - b. As determined by the Building Code
8. A minimum of six (6) employee parking spaces shall be delineated with the proper signage in the northern portion of the parking lot, in a manner acceptable to the Director of Community Development.

Inter-Departmental Review Group Report Approved By:




William Heniff, AICP
Director of Community Development


H:\CD\WORD\USERS\PC\CCAS\SES\2009\PC 09-12\Report 09-12.doc

ATTACHMENT “A”

Buffer Analysis



PC 09-11 & PC 09-12: 250 Cortland (Gun World)



Hunt, Kaiser, Aranda & Subach, Ltd.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1035 S. York Road
 Bensenville, IL 60106
 Phone 630-860-7800
 Fax 630-860-8283
 Real Estate Fax 630-860-7459

THOMAS CASEY HUNT
 DANIEL J. KAISER
 LOUIS B. ARANDA
 MARSHALL J. SUBACH
 JAMES PATRICK MCCLANE
 STEPHEN SPIEGEL

Of Counsel
 Brian F. Telander
 Brad S. Telander
 Phillip D. Blomberg

May 7, 2009

Mr. Michael Toth
 Village of Lombard
 Department of Community Development
 255 E. Wilson Avenue
 Lombard, IL 60148

RE: PETITIONER: JEROME SOSKIN
 PROPERTY ADDRESS: 250 Courtland
 LOMBARD, ILLINOIS

Dear Mr. Toth:

As you are aware my office represents the Petitioner, Jerome Soskin, who is the contract purchaser for the property commonly known as 250 Courtland, Lombard Illinois. I received you email dated May 6, 2009 requesting additional information. In response, and as a supplement to my April 13, 2009 the Petitioner hours of operation will be Monday through Friday 10:00am to 9:00 pm; Saturday 9:00am to 6:00pm and Sunday 9:00am to 5:00pm.


My client will install 5 security cameras on the outside and 7 or 8 security cameras on the inside. As for employees, my client typically has 4 employees working at the business, up to a maximum of 6 employees at any one time.

As far as the easement, I provided you a copy of the easement for the property to the west. The easement only allows for ingress and egress over the easement. Paragraph 5 of the easement prohibits parking of vehicles in the easement, except for the unloading of deliveries. Finally, I am enclosing a copy of the Exhibit "A" to my response letter which is the list of customers that my client has serviced in the past.

Should you need any additional information please contact me directly.

Respectfully submitted:

Hunt, Kaiser, Aranda & Subach, Ltd.



Marshall J. Subach

Attorney for Petitioner Jerome Soskin

GUN WORLD CLIENTS

POLICE DEPTS cont'd

Rosen
River Grove
Rolling Meadows
Rosemont
Schiller Park
Skokie
St. Charles
Stone Park
Villa Park
Waukegan
West Chicago
Westchester
Willowbrook
Winfield
Wood Dale
Zion

SECURITY FIRMS

Brinks
Dunbar
Knights Security
Thillens
Transport Security Maintenance
Safe Shot
Wells Fargo

GOVERNMENT

F.B.I.
I.C.E.
T.S.A.

MISCELLANEOUS

El Al - Israeli Airlines
Israeli Consulate
ISA
ITT
Mexican Consulate
Danish Air Transport

POLICE DEPTS

Addison
Arlington Heights
Batavia
Bensenville
Brookfield
Brookfield Zoo
Cicero
Clarendon Hills
Darien
Deerfield
East Dundee
Elgin
Elk Grove Village
Elmhurst
Elmwood Park
Fox River Grove
Frankfort
Franklin Park
Harwood Heights
Haywood
Highland Park
Hinsdale
Joliet
La Grange
Lake Bluff
Libertyville
Lincolnwood
Lombard
Lyons
Markham
Maywood
Morris
Naperville
Niles
Northbrook
Northfield
Oak Brook
Oak Brook Terrace
Orland Park
Oswego
Ottawa

Department of Community Development
Lombard Village Hall
255 E. Wilson Ave.
Lombard, IL 60148

May 5, 2009

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to inform you of our disapproval of the petitioner Gun World starting an indoor shooting gallery and range at 250 Cortland Ave., Lombard IL. We feel that having a shooting range next door would do harm to our business for various reasons.

First, we feel the noise of a shooting gallery would be a distraction. Right now, we are in a fairly quiet area. The only noise comes from cars and trucks driving through.

That brings me to the next point. Traffic would greatly increase. There are currently no retail businesses in the area, so traffic is not a major issue. However, with the semi trucks coming in and out of this area, it is already sometimes difficult for passenger cars to get in and out of this area in a timely manner. A business such as a shooting range would bring in a lot more cars to the area. Also the potholes and the roads in general are terrible in this industrial park.

Having more cars in the area would also cause a problem with parking. We do have designated parking spots for our business, but it is not uncommon for customers of that building to use our lot when theirs is full.


Lastly, we do not believe in recreational gun sales, which is a shooting gallery would promote. There is not a lot of crime in this area, but having a shooting gallery so close could cause trouble. Being a sales office, we often have customers coming to our office. Allowing a shooting gallery to open next door to us, I feel, would deter our customers from coming here. For all the reasons listed above, our customers would not be comfortable in this area. How are we, as a sales office, going to be able to serve our customers and survive this economy if they can't even come to our office?

Please disapprove this petition by Gun World to open a shooting range at this location.

Sincerely,

James Bensch

R G R TEXTILE MILLS, INC.
255 CORTLAND AVE.
LOMBARD, IL 60148
(630) 424-8000



TELEPHONE: (630) 495-0545
FAX: (630) 495-3056

TELLA TOOL & MFG. CO.

1015 N. RIDGE AVENUE
LOMBARD, ILLINOIS 60148

May 7, 2009

Department of Community Development
255 East Wilson Avenue
Lombard, IL 60148
Attn: Mr. Toth - Planner I

RE: PC 09-11 and PC 09-12

Parcel Number: 03-31-404-005

Gun World


250 Cortland Avenue

Dear Mr. Toth:

I am writing this letter to express my opposition to amending current zoning regulations that would allow Gun World to operate a "Shooting Gallery & Range" at 250 Cortland Avenue. As a long time business owner operating facilities at 245 Cortland Avenue, 1015 North Ridge Avenue and 1008 North Ridge Avenue, I feel that I have sufficient knowledge and insight to voice my concerns regarding the above application for a zoning amendment.

A major concern is the expected increase in street traffic and current conditions of the streets accessing 250 Cortland Avenue. The majority of the businesses located in the area are industrial in nature; Gun World is a retail establishment, which would draw far greater vehicle traffic than the current make up of businesses in the industrial park. In addition to greater vehicle traffic, the conditions of the roads in the industrial park are a concern in allowing the approval of the zoning amendment. The roads are in poor shape, with pot holes, no shoulders, and standing water, which is another limiting factor preventing the anticipated increase in vehicle traffic in the industrial park. At the current time in some sections of the road, two cars can not share the road, but need to pass single file due to the poor conditions. This is especially noticed in the winter and after rain storms when standing water covers most of the roads that restricts the volume of vehicle traffic in the industrial park.

Gun World is a retail establishment and parking is another issue. As the owner of a business that would be across the street from Gun World, I am concerned that their customers would use my parking lot and interfere with my customers, employees, and



deliveries. I do not believe that Gun World can predict how much parking they may need at the current time, and in their proposed plan are probably indicating that the property has sufficient parking spaces. How can they actually forecast parking, have they taken in to account future growth or special events they may hold, which would require more parking then they have available? The lack of adequate parking is an additional concern of mine, which makes me not want to allow the amendment to the zoning regulations, which would allow Gun World to operate at 250 Cortland Avenue.

The last area of concern from a long time business owner in the area is the issue of noise and safety that would accompany an operation of a gun retailer and shooting range in the industrial park. No matter how well designed the shooting gallery is going to have noise "escape" from the building that is going to be alarming and disrupting to my customers and employees. The safety factor is a major concern of mine, having a gun retailer and shooting range across the street from my business. This type of operation deals in a potentially lethal product, which in the wrong hands would harm or kill my employees and customers. It is my concern for the health and safety of my employees that I oppose allowing Gun World to operate in the industrial park.

It is for the reasons stated above, that I as a long time business owner am opposed to amending the zoning regulations to allow Gun World to operate at 250 Cortland Avenue.

Sincerely,



Daniel M. Provenzano

President - Tella Tool & Mfg. Co.

C.N.C. Accessories Company
NEW AND USED FABRICATION EQUIPMENT

NEW E-MAIL ADDRESS
cncacc@sbccglobal.net

260 Cortland Ave., Suite #6 • Lombard, Illinois 60148-1224
Office: 630-916-1494 • Fax: 630-916-1518
E-mail: cnc@ccm.net • Website: cncacc.com

May 5, 2009

Department of Community Development
Lombard Village Hall
255 E. Wilson Ave.
Lombard, IL 60148

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to inform you of our disapproval of the petitioner Gun World starting an indoor shooting gallery and range at 250 Cortland Ave., Lombard IL. We feel that having a shooting range next door would do harm to our business for various reasons.

First, we feel the noise of a shooting gallery would be a distraction. Right now, we are in a fairly quiet area. The only noise comes from cars and trucks driving through.

That brings me to the next point. Traffic would greatly increase. There are currently no retail businesses in the area, so traffic is not a major issue. However, with the semi trucks coming in and out of this area, it is already sometimes difficult for passenger cars to get in and out of this area in a timely manner. A business such as a shooting range would bring in a lot more cars to the area. Also the potholes and the roads in general are terrible in this industrial park.

Having more cars in the area would also cause a problem with parking. We do have designated parking spots for our business, but it is not uncommon for customers of that building to use our lot when theirs is full.

Lastly, we do not believe in recreational gun sales, which is a shooting gallery would promote. There is not a lot of crime in this area, but having a shooting gallery so close could cause trouble. Being a sales office, we often have customers coming to our office. Allowing a shooting gallery to open next door to us, I feel, would deter our customers from coming here. For all the reasons listed above, our customers would not be comfortable in this area. How are we, as a sales office, going to be able to serve our customers and survive this economy if they can't even come to our office?

Please disapprove this petition by Gun World to open a shooting range at this location.

Sincerely,

John Pekny
John Pekny
C.N.C. Accessories Co.

Kim McQuillan
Kim McQuillan

Eralda Mihali
Eralda Mihali


Village of Lombard Planning Commission.
255 E. Wilson Avenue
Lombard, IL. 60148

Dear Members of the Planning Commission,

Regarding PC 09-11 and PC 09-12

I am the owner of the property directly next door to the east, 1133 N Ridge. I want to be put on the record that I am against the conditional use of a "Shooting Gallery & Range", retail store at 250 Cortland.

Sincerely,




Thomas G. DeFlorio

1133 N. Ridge Ave

Lombard, IL. 60148

630-873-1000

tdelflorio@demardirect.com.



5/8/09

Forest Preserve District of DuPage County



3 S. 580 Naperville Road • Wheaton, IL 60187-8761 • 630.933.7200 • Fax 630.933.7204 • TTY 800.526.0857

VIA FACSIMILE - 630-629-2374

May 11, 2009

Mr. Donald Ryan, Chairperson
Lombard Plan Commission
255 E. Wilson
Lombard, Illinois 60148

Re: Public Hearing Notice on Gun World Property
Case #PC 09-11 and 09-12

Dear Mr. Ryan:

The Forest Preserve District of DuPage County recently received notice of a proposed project on the Gun World property located at 250 Cortland Avenue. We appreciate receiving timely notification of such projects that may have an impact on our nearby property, and thank you for the opportunity to comment.

District Staff has reviewed the public hearing notice and the proposed project, and does not have any comments at this time. We hope you will allow us the opportunity to review and comment on any major revisions that may be proposed as this project moves forward.

Please call me at (630) 933-7684 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Marcia Thomas

Marcia Thomas
Land Preservation Specialist

cc: Kevin Stough, Director of Land Preservation

ORDINANCE

**AN ORDINANCE APPROVING TEXT AMENDMENTS
TO THE LOMBARD ZONING ORDINANCE
TITLE 15, CHAPTER 155 OF THE CODE OF LOMBARD, ILLINOIS**

(PC 09-11: Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product))

WHEREAS, the Village of Lombard maintains a Zoning Ordinance which is found in Title 15, Chapter 155 of the Code of Lombard, Illinois; and,

WHEREAS, Section 155.102 (E)(13) of the Zoning Ordinance directs the Director of Community Development to initiate a review of the provisions established within the Zoning Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees deem it reasonable to periodically review said Zoning Ordinance and make necessary changes; and

WHEREAS, as the Director has identified and recommends text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance as set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing to consider text amendments to the Zoning Ordinance has been conducted by the Village of Lombard Plan Commission on May 18, 2009 pursuant to appropriate and legal notice; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has filed its recommendations with the President and Board of Trustees recommending approval of the text amendments described herein; and,

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees approve and adopt the findings and recommendations of the Plan Commission and incorporate such findings and recommendations herein by reference as if they were fully set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD, DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, as follows:

SECTION 1: That Title 15, Chapter 155, Section 200, of the Code of Lombard, Illinois is hereby amended to read in part, as follows:

SECTION 155.418 I LIMITED INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT REQUIREMENTS

(B) Permitted Uses

The following uses shall be permitted in the I District:

- (1) Bedding manufacturing
- (2) Boot and shoe manufacturing
- (3) Cabinet Making
- (4) Carpet manufacturing
- (5) Cloth products manufacturing
- (6) Contractors, architects, and engineers offices and shops
- (7) Dry cleaning plants and operations primarily serving satellite drop-off dry cleaning establishments in other districts.
- (8) Electronic and scientific precision instruments manufacturing
- (9) Exterminating services
- (10) Film Processing; not including retail sales or commercial studios
- (11) Furniture upholstery & Refinishing
- (12) Fur processing
- (13) Laboratories, including research and testing laboratories
- (14) Laundries, including truck route laundries, linen supply, and diaper services
- (15) Light machinery production and repair e.g. household appliances & business machines etc. - appliances, business machines, etc.
- (16) Lithographing
- (17) Mail order houses
- (18) Mechanical Parts Reconditioning

- (19) Mini-Warehouses
- (20) Musical instruments manufacturing
- (21) Offices
- (22) Orthopedic and medical appliance manufacture
- (23) Packing Material Manufacturing
- (24) Parking lots, commercial and lots other than accessory, and subject to the provisions of Section 155.600 of this Ordinance
- (25) Pottery and ceramics manufacture
- (26) Printing and publishing establishments
- (27) Public utility and service uses
- (28) Radio and television stations and towers
- (29) Rope, cord, and twine manufacture
- (30) Sheet Metal Stamping and Fabrication
- (31) Sign Contractors
- (32) Sporting goods manufacture
- (33) Storage Centers
- (34) Tool & Die
- (35) Warehousing, storage, and distribution facilities
- (36) Wearing Apparel Manufacture
- (37) Woodworking and wood products manufacture
- (38) Automated Envelope Merging Process
- (39) Accessory uses and buildings, incidental to and on the same zoning lot as the permitted use, which are constructed and operated in conformance with Section 155.210 of this Ordinance

(C) Conditional Uses

Subject to the provisions of Section 155.103 (F) in this Ordinance, the following conditional uses may be allowed:

(1) Adult uses (including but not limited to adult book stores, adult cabarets, adult theaters, and adult video stores) subject to the adult use:

(a) not being located within 500 feet of a zoning district which permits (or allows as a conditional use) residential development, educational or religious institutions, parks, or playgrounds;

(b) not being located within 1,000 feet of another adult use (distances to be measured from property lines);

(c) being conducted in a manner that prohibits the observation of any material depicting, describing or relating to any specified sexual activities or specified anatomical areas by display, decorations, sign, show window or other opening from any public way.

(3) Automotive service

(4) Banks

(5) Building material sales and storage

(6) Cartage and express facilities

(7) Catering Services

(8) Clubs and lodges, nonprofit and fraternal

(9) Compost collection facility

(10) Concrete and cast stone fabrication and molding

(11) Contractors, architects, and engineers equipment and material storage yards

(12) Cosmetics production

(13) Dairy products processing or manufacture

(14) Food manufacture, packaging, and processing

- (15) Gasoline sales
- (16) Glass products production
- (17) Heliports, private or commercial
- (18) Learning centers (no outdoor component)
- (19) Metal Plating, Forging, or Casting
- (20) Mortuarial Services
- (21) Off-site parking, conforming to Section 155.602 (A) (3) (b) of this Ordinance
- (22) Outpatient medical and dental offices and clinics
- (23) Paper products manufacture
- (24) Parks and playgrounds
- (25) Planned developments in conformance with Section 155.500 of this Ordinance
- (26) Plastic extruding
- (27) Recreation buildings or community centers
- (28) Recycling collection centers
- (29) Restaurants
- (30) Schools: public and/or private elementary, middle and high
- (31) Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product)
- (32) Soap manufacture
- (33) Stadiums, auditoriums, and arenas - open or enclosed
- (34) Outside Storage of Motor Vehicles
- (35) Trade school
- (36) Other manufacturing, processing, storage, or industrial uses as determined by the Director of Community Development to be of the same general character as the uses permitted in Subsection 155.418(C), above, and found not to be obnoxious, unhealthful, or offensive by

reason of the potential emission or transmission of noise, vibration, smoke, dust, toxic or noxious matter or glare or heat.

(37) Four (4) story buildings within one hundred feet (100') of residentially-zoned property or a minor or major arterial street and buildings which are forty feet (40') to forty-five feet (45') in height and which are within one hundred (100') of a residentially-zoned property or a minor or major arterial street.

(38) Accessory uses and buildings incidental to and on the same zoning lot as the conditional use, which are constructed and operated in conformance with Section 155.210 of this Ordinance.

SECTION 2: That Title 15, Chapter 155, Section 802, of the Code of Lombard, Illinois is hereby amended to read in part, as follows:

SECTION 8: RULES AND DEFINITIONS

SHOOTING GALLERY & RANGE, INDOOR (WITH ANCILLARY RETAIL SALES OF ASSOCIATED PRODUCT) an establishment for the practicing of target shooting on an established target range(s) within an enclosed building to prevent any projectiles from projecting beyond the confines of the building. The sale of firearms may be permitted as an accessory use.

SECTION 3: That this ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval and publication in pamphlet form as provided by law.

Passed on first reading this _____ day of _____, 2009.

First reading waived by action of the Board of Trustees this _____ day of _____, 2009.
Passed on second reading this _____ day of _____, 2009.

Ayes: _____

Nayes: _____

Absent: _____

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2009.

William J. Mueller, Village President

ATTEST:

Brigitte O'Brien, Village Clerk

Published by me in pamphlet form this _____ day of _____, 2009.

Brigitte O'Brien, Village Clerk

**AN ORDINANCE GRANTING A CONDITIONAL USE
PURSUANT TO TITLE 15, CHAPTER 155, SECTION 155.420 (C)
OF THE LOMBARD ZONING ORDINANCE**

(PC 09-12: 250 Cortland Avenue)

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Lombard have heretofore adopted the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, otherwise known as Title 15, Chapter 155 of the Code of Lombard, Illinois; and,

WHEREAS, the Subject Properties as defined below is zoned I – Limited Industrial District; and,

WHEREAS, an application has been filed requesting approval of a conditional use, pursuant to Section 155.420 (C)(31) of the Zoning Ordinance, to allow to allow a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) at the property described below in Section 2; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing on the foregoing application were conducted by the Village of Lombard Plan Commission on May 18, 2009 pursuant to appropriate and legal notice; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has filed its recommendations with the President and Board of Trustees recommending approval of the conditional use described herein, subject to conditions; and,

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees approve and adopt the findings and recommendations of the Plan Commission and incorporate such findings and recommendations herein by reference as if they were fully set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD, DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, as follows:

SECTION 1: That a conditional use from Section 155.420 (C)(31) of the Zoning Ordinance, to allow a Shooting Gallery & Range, Indoor (with ancillary retail sales of associated product) is hereby granted for the Property, as described in Section 2 below and subject to the conditions set forth in Section 3 below.

SECTION 2: The approval granted in Section 1 above shall be limited and restricted to the property located at 250 Cortland Avenue, Lombard, Illinois and legally described as follows:

THE WESTERLY 144.43 FEET, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES THERETO, OF LOT 1 IN ARTISTIC IMPRESSIONS CONSOLIDATION OF LOTS 1 AND 2 IN PECORA'S RESUBDIVISION OF PART OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 40 NORTH, RANGE 11, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF SAID ARTISTIC IMPRESSIONS CONSOLIDATION RECORDED NOVEMBER 4, 1994 AS DOCUMENT NUMBER R94-216981, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

Parcel Number: 03-31-404-005

SECTION 3: The conditional use, as provided for in Sections 1 of this Ordinance shall be granted subject to compliance with the following conditions:

1. The subject property shall be developed in substantial compliance with Floor Plan/Site Plan, prepared by Thomas Budzik Architecture PC, dated April 13, 2009, except as such plans may be changed to meet Village Codes and the following conditions below:

2. Soundproofing for the proposed firing positions shall be provided so as to eliminate any external noise.
3. All comments in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report shall be satisfactorily addressed.
4. The petitioner shall secure a building permit from the Village for all required improvements.
5. The existing commercial driveway apron shall be reconstructed to Village standards.
6. All dumpsters located on the subject property shall be screened pursuant to Section 155.710 of the Zoning Ordinance.
7. Occupancy shall be based on the lesser of the two (2) options:
 - a. 103 persons, or
 - b. As determined by the Building Code

8. A minimum of six (6) employee parking spaces shall be delineated with the proper signage in the northern portion of the parking lot, in a manner acceptable to the Director of Community Development.

SECTION 4: This Ordinance, upon approval, shall be recorded by the Village with the Office of County Recorder.

SECTION 5: This ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form as provided by law.

Passed on first reading this _____ day of _____, 2009.
First reading waived by action of the Board of Trustees this _____ day of _____, 2009.

Passed on second reading this _____ day of _____, 2009, pursuant to a roll call vote as follows:

Ayes: _____
Nays: _____
Absent: _____
Approved by me this _____ day of _____, 2009.

William J. Mueller, Village President

ATTEST:

Brigitte O'Brien, Village Clerk

Published in pamphlet from this _____ day of _____, 2009

Brigitte O'Brien, Village Clerk