

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD
REQUEST FOR BOARD OF TRUSTEES ACTION
For Inclusion on Board Agenda

 Resolution or Ordinance (Blue) Waiver of First Requested
 X Recommendations of Boards, Commissions & Committees (Green)
 Other Business (Pink)

TO : PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES

FROM: Scott R. Niehaus, Village Manager

DATE : August 11, 2025 **(BOT) Date:** August 21, 2025

SUBJECT: PC 25-10: 1308-1330 S. Meyers Road – Pinnacle at Meyers Revised Plan

SUBMITTED BY: Anna Papke, AICP, Planning and Zoning Manager *AP*

BACKGROUND/POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

Your Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation regarding the above-referenced petition. The subject property is the Pinnacle at Meyers Planned Development (1308-1330 S. Meyers Road). In 2024, the Village approved zoning entitlements for a 22-lot single-family residential development on the subject property. The petitioner now requests approval of a revised plan for 11 single-family residential lots on the subject property.

The Plan Commission recommended approval of this petition by a vote of 6-0. Please place PC 25-10 on the August 21, 2025, Board of Trustees agenda for a first reading under Items for Separate Action.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:
Review (as necessary)

Finance Director _____ Date _____
Village Manager _____ Date _____



MEMORANDUM

TO: Scott R. Niehaus, Village Manager

FROM: Anna Papke, AICP, Planning and Zoning Manager *AP*

MEETING DATE: August 21, 2025

SUBJECT: **PC 25-10: 1308-1330 S. Meyers Road – Pinnacle at Meyers Revised Plan**

Please find the following items for Village Board consideration as part of the August 21, 2025, Village Board meeting:

1. Plan Commission referral letter;
2. IDRC report for PC 25-10; and
3. An ordinance amending zoning relief granted by Ordinance 8292, which granted approval of a planned development with companion conditional use and deviations and variations, and granting approval of a final plat of subdivision.

The Plan Commission recommended approval of PC 25-10 by a vote of 6-0. Please place PC 25-10 on the August 21, 2025, Board of Trustees agenda for a first reading under Items for Separate Action.



VILLAGE OF LOMBARD

255 E. Wilson Ave.
Lombard, Illinois 60148-3926
(630) 620-5700 Fax (630) 620-8222
www.villageoflombard.org

August 21, 2025

Village President
Anthony Puccio

Village Clerk
Ranya Elkhatab

Trustees

Brian LaVaque, Dist. 1
Jessica Hammersmith, Dist. 2
Bernie Dudek, Dist. 3
Patrick Egan, Dist. 4
Dan Militello, Dist. 5
Bob Bachner, Dist. 6

Village Manager
Scott R. Niehaus

*"Our shared **Vision** for Lombard is a community of excellence exemplified by its government working together with residents and businesses to create a distinctive sense of spirit and an outstanding quality of life."*

*"The **Mission** of the Village of Lombard is to provide superior and responsive governmental services to the people of Lombard."*

Mr. Anthony Puccio,
Village President, and
Board of Trustees
Village of Lombard

Subject: PC 25-10: 1308-1330 S. Meyers Road – Pinnacle at Meyers

Dear President and Trustees:

Your Plan Commission transmits for your consideration its recommendation regarding the above-referenced petition.

The petitioner requests that the Village take the following action on the subject property located within the CRPD Conservation Recreation District Planned Development (Glenbard East Planned Development): The petitioner requests that the Village take the following actions on the subject property (1308-1330 S. Meyers Road), located within the Village of Lombard R2PD Single-Family Residence District Planned Development (Pinnacle Planned Development)

1. Amend the approvals previously requested through Plan Commission petition PC 24-07, and granted by Ordinance No. 8292, as follows:
 - a. Pursuant to Section 155.504 of Village Code, approve the following major changes to the Pinnacle Planned Development:
 - i. Amendment to provide for development of 11 detached single-family residences, where the previous approval provided for 22 detached single-family residences;

- ii. Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(1)(a)(iv), which requires a front yard of 30 feet, deviations in order to adjust the prior relief granted for 22 lots and allow front yards of 28 feet on Lots 1-3 and 25 feet on Lots 4-11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan and preliminary plat of subdivision;
 - iii. Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(2), which requires a corner side yard of 20 feet, deviations in order to adjust the prior relief granted for 22 lots and allow corner side yards of nine (9) feet on Lot 4, and ten (10) feet on Lot 11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan (all dimensions measured to the lot line shared with Outlot A);
 - iv. Pursuant to Section 154.506(D), variations in order to permit 11 lots with frontage on the private streets within the subdivision, where the previous approval provided this relief for 22 lots;
 - v. Pursuant to Section 155.210 and 155.210(A)(2)(b), a variation in order to allow an above-ground utility cabinet before the principal building and allow the cabinet in front of the south and east walls of the building on Lot 3, where previously this relief was granted relative to the same location on prior Lot 6;
- b. Elimination of the following relief approved by Ordinance 8292:
 - i. Pursuant to Section 155.407(E), deviations to allow individual lot widths less than 60 feet;
 - ii. Pursuant to 155.407(F)(3), deviations to allow interior side yards of less than six (6) feet;
- c. Preservation of the following relief approved by Ordinance 8292:
 - i. Pursuant to Section 155.407(G)(2) of Village Code, approve a conditional use for building height not to exceed 38 feet or three stories;
 - ii. Pursuant to Sections 155.510(A)(1) and Section 155.407(H), deviations in order to allow open space to be calculated across all parcels in the planned development rather than on a parcel-by-parcel basis, and to allow a development with 45% open space where 50% open space is required;
 - iii. Pursuant to Section 155.205(A)(1)(c), a variation in order to allow, as shown in the Landscape Plan and Planned Development Fence Plan, a 6-foot fence on Outlot A at all locations (a portion of the north fence extends along the abutting front yard to the north) except near the Meyers Road and 14th Street driveways where a 4-foot fence is depicted;
 - iv. Pursuant to Section 155.711, variations in order to allow innovative landscaping per the submitted Landscape Plan;
 - v. Pursuant to Section 154.304(D)(2) and Section 154.306(D)(2), variations in order to allow public improvements to the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way depicted in the preliminary engineering plan, Planned Development Site Plan and Landscape Plan, as determined upon hearing and decision;

- vi. Pursuant to Section 154.304(D)(3), Section 154.306(D)(3) and Section 154.309, variations in order to allow improvements to the Meyers Road right-of-way depicted in the preliminary engineering plan, Planned Development Site Plan and Landscape Plan, as determined upon hearing and decision;
- vii. Pursuant to Section 154.407(A) and Section 154.503(D), variations in order to continue the existing widths of all abutting rights-of-way and pavement widths thereof;
- viii. Pursuant to Section 154.510 and Section 150.301, variations in order to permit the driveways onto Meyers Road and onto 14th Street as depicted in the preliminary engineering plans and Planned Development Site Plan provided that the gate shall remain operable to allow entry by all vehicles without access control so as not to stack vehicles over the sidewalk or cause backing movements;
- ix. Such other variations from Chapter 154, including those which exclude final landscape treatment from public improvements required to be completed prior to the initiation of the final ten percent (10%) of units but only to the extent required on lots that have not been certified for occupancy, as deemed necessary and appropriate;
- x. Pursuant to Section 153.232(B), a deviation in order to allow each subdivision sign at a height of six (6) feet, where a height of four (4) feet is permitted; and

2. Approve a revised final plat of subdivision pursuant to Section 154.203(D) of Village Code.

After due notice and as required by law, the Plan Commission conducted a public hearing for this petition on July 28, 2025. Sworn in to present the petition was Anna Papke, Planning and Zoning Manager, and the following on behalf of the petitioner: Mark Daniel, attorney; Ahmed Khan, property owner and developer; Megan Weiss, landscape architect; and Jiun-Guang Lin, project engineer.

Chairperson Giuliano read the Plan Commission procedures and asked if anyone other than the petitioner intended to cross examine and, hearing none, she proceeded with the petition.

Mark Daniel presented the petition. He explained that a 22-unit single-family residential development was previously approved for the subject property. The developer has received market interest in larger houses than those previously approved. Therefore, the petitioner is requesting approval of an amended plan for 11 single-family residences. The amended plan would consolidate pairs of lots in the existing subdivision into larger lots (e.g. Lot 1 and 2 consolidated, Lot 3 and 4 consolidated, etc.). The layout of the utilities and internal access driveway are not changing from the approved plan. Mr. Daniel said the permit for the driveway connection onto Meyers was under review by DuPage County, and the County had indicated they would approve the proposed driveway gate subject to conditions that allow the County to request modifications if the gate creates an issue in the future. The landscaping on the property is largely the same as previously approved.

Mr. Daniel noted that the developer was in discussions with the York Center Park District about possibly constructing a gazebo in a public park as a community amenity.

Mr. Daniel noted the changes between the approved plan and the revised proposed plan. These included: increased distance between the houses, offsetting zoning relief that was needed for the approved plan; and a reduction in density from 5.6 units/acre to 2.8 units/acre. He said the revised plan decreases the amount of zoning relief needed for the development.

Ahmed Khan addressed the Plan Commission. He said all homes would remain single-family residences with five to seven bedrooms. There was no increase in the number of bedrooms compared to the previous plan. The additional size of the houses would accommodate increased living area and more parking spaces in the attached garages. He noted there would be a 50% reduction in density with the revised plan. The revised plan would provide six indoor parking spaces per unit. Mr. Khan showed the floorplans and renderings for the revised houses.

Chairperson Giuliano asked if any person would like to cross examine or speak in favor or against this petition, or for public comment.

Doris Dornberger addressed the Plan Commission. She said she continues to be concerned about the size of the houses and the number of people living in the houses. She said it was good the number of houses had decreased but she was concerned that the size of the homes had doubled to 12,000 square feet. She said there was a lot of parking on the site but she did not think it would be enough for guests. She was concerned about stormwater and runoff around surrounding properties on well and septic systems. She suggested permeable pavers be incorporated into the development. She said the developer should increase open space on the site. She expressed concerns about traffic congestion, and concerns about the size of the sign that was approved with the previous plan. She said the size of the sign would be a safety hazard.

Kristin Dominguez addressed the Plan Commission. She said she lives across the street from the development. She said the developer has worked with the neighborhood and has made changes in response to these discussions. She liked that the number of homes would be decreasing. She did not think that the size or design of the homes was compatible with Lombard or the surrounding community. She was concerned about the number of people who would be living in the proposed homes. She was concerned that there would not be enough parking for the residents and guests of the development. She expressed concerns about vehicles, scooters, school buses, and bikes on School Street. She was concerned about vehicles parking on School Street. She was concerned about traffic on 14th Street. She said she preferred the smaller rooftop decks on the revised homes, and she preferred fewer houses even though they were larger. She asked the Plan Commission to consider safety, parking, and traffic.

Ken Franklin addressed the Plan Commission. He asked if there was a restriction in Lombard that allowed only one family to occupy a home. Ms. Papke said that there was a definition of the term "family" in the Zoning Ordinance. Each dwelling unit in the Village could be occupied by one family, as defined in the Zoning Ordinance.

Chairperson Giuliano asked if any person would like to cross examine or speak in favor or against this petition, or for public comment. Hearing none, she asked if the petitioner would like to respond to the public comments.

Mr. Daniel said the definition in the Village Code for the term “family” was intended to be compliant with federal regulations concerning housing and family status. He said there would be only one family living as a household in a dwelling unit. He noted the floorplans showed the entire house would be one dwelling unit. There were no areas carved out to allow for separate dwellings.

Regarding traffic and safety, he noted that there will be a sidewalk on School Street and a crosswalk on 14th Street, which would increase pedestrian safety.

He acknowledged that there was some cut-through traffic from Roosevelt into the neighborhood. The limited driveway connections between the development and Meyers and School were intended to address this. He said that there were up to 110 parking spaces available within the development. He said there may be some off-site parking from time to time, but that this was standard for a residential neighborhood. He said the Village had jurisdiction to regulate parking on School Street if necessary.

Regarding the sign variation, he said the increased area of the sign would increase visibility and reduce the need for drivers to detour and turn around if they missed the driveway into the development. He said the sign would not create a traffic issue.

Regarding stormwater, he said the development would comply with all DuPage County stormwater regulations. He said stormwater on the site would flow into an underground stormwater system. He said permeable pavers would be a maintenance concern for future property owners, and should be optional not required.

Mr. Khan said that the two houses that were sold were for empty nesters. He said the primary market for the development was empty nesters.

Lin, project engineer, described the stormwater management design. He said it follows the DuPage Stormwater Management Ordinance. The system will hold water underground and slowly release it into the public stormwater sewers. He said the stormwater management system would improve upon existing conditions by controlling stormwater runoff.

Chairperson Giuliano asked if any person would like to cross examine or speak in favor or against this petition, or for public comment. Hearing none, she asked for the staff report.

Ms. Papke presented the interdepartmental review committee report, which was entered into the public record in its entirety. The subject property is the Pinnacle at Meyers Planned Development. In 2024, the Village approved zoning entitlements for a development consisting of 22 detached single-family residences in a gated community (PC 24-07). The approval included improvements to the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way. Work on the site infrastructure, including internal roadway and utilities, is ongoing. The petitioner proposes to amend the previously approved plan to allow for development of 11 detached single-family residences instead of 22

detached single-family residences. The layout of the site infrastructure (internal roadway and utilities) will not change. No changes are proposed to the improvements previously approved for the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way. The amended plan requires review and approval through the Plan Commission public hearing process.

Staff reviewed the revised plan in comparison to the previously approved plan, and notes the following:

- The density of the development will decrease from 5.6 units/acre to 2.8 units/acre.
- The distance between the houses will increase from six feet to 12 or more feet.
- Height of the proposed buildings does not change from previously approved peak height of 38 feet.
- Setbacks of the houses from the perimeter of the development are equal to or greater than the perimeter setbacks on the approved plans.
- No changes are proposed to perimeter fencing or landscaping.
- No changes are proposed to overall site layout or utility infrastructure.
- No changes are proposed to site access; there will be one driveway connection on Meyers Road and one connection on 14th Street.
- Open space in the development does not change from previously approved 45%.

The revised plan is largely consistent with the existing zoning entitlements. Staff did a detailed comparison of the bulk measurements of the approved and revised plan, and found that several pieces of zoning relief granted for the approved plan are not required for the revised plan. Some of the previously granted zoning relief is still needed, but the degree of nonconformity to the underlying zoning district requirement is reduced. Overall, staff finds the revised plan lessens the amount of zoning relief required for the development.

Ms. Papke noted that the 11 residences in the revised plan will provide space for up to six cars inside an attached garage, with space for additional vehicles in the driveways. This exceeds the code requirement for two parking spaces per single-family residence. KLOA reviewed the approved 22-unit plan in 2024 and concluded there was adequate roadway capacity to handle the amount of traffic generated by 22 single-family residences. They have reviewed the revised plan and find the 11 units will generate even less traffic. Ms. Papke noted Brendan May of KLOA was present and available to answer technical questions about the traffic analysis.

Finally, Ms. Papke said the petitioner held a neighborhood meeting in early July to present the proposed revisions to neighborhood residents. Staff received two written comments on the petition. One was attached to the staff report and the second had been distributed to the Plan Commission the previous week.

Staff found the petition meets the relevant standards in the Village Code and recommends approval of the request subject to the conditions in the staff report.

Chairperson Giuliano asked if there were any questions or comments on the staff report. Hearing none, she opened the meeting to comments from the Commissioners.

Commissioner Spreenberg asked about open space. He said the previous approval was for 45% open space where 50% would be required by the Zoning Ordinance. He asked Mr. Daniel to explain the initial justification for the open space deviation.

Mr. Daniel said the open space deviation was somewhat related to the setbacks of the houses on the individual lots. He said there were site constraints to adding more open space. If the developer were to add 5% more open space, it would end up in ineffective areas in the development.

Commissioner Spreenberg said he recalled there was an effort to keep the houses back from the roads. He could see the commercial reason for reduced open space with 22 units. Now that the number of units was decreasing, he wondered if the open space deviation was justified.

Mr. Daniel said the entire perimeter of the development was open space. He said the open space was calculated on a lot-by-lot basis, and the inclusion of the outlot in the calculations would increase the amount of open space. He said the outlot had the bulk of the development's open space for maintenance purposes.

Commissioner Johnston asked about the timing of the gate opening for traffic entering from Meyers Road. Mr. Daniel said the gate would recognize a vehicle approaching and open immediately. There was no keypad. Delivery vehicles and guests could enter. The gate was a metering device rather than a restricting device.

Commissioner Johnston asked about the number of vehicles that could stack in front of the gate while it opened. Mr. Daniel said the gate would not close between a second vehicle entering right behind another vehicle. Mr. Daniel said the County had a few design requests for the gate during the permit review process.

Commissioner Johnston asked about the distance between the gate and the right-of-way and whether that was an issue for DuPage County. Mr. Daniel said DuPage County had not had an issue with the gate for the 22 house plan.

Commissioner Johnston said he was concerned about multiple delivery vehicles trying to enter at the same time. He was concerned about stackability and the distance between the gate and the right-of-way.

Lin said there was 40 feet between the curb and the gate.

Commissioner Johnston asked for more information about the design of the water retention system. He asked where the drywell would be on the property. Lin said the drywell would sit underneath the underground detention storage system. This was under the internal drive aisle running north and south.

Commissioner Johnston asked if the new stormwater system would supersede requirements. Lin said the design would meet stormwater standards.

Commissioner Johnston asked about the height. He said that he had agreed there was a hardship for height when there were 22 units on smaller lots. He thought there was not a hardship now that the buildings would be larger and more spread out.

Mr. Daniel said the 38 feet height was a peak height. There were variations in the peak height of the proposed houses. He noted the height measurement under code would be to median height, not peak height. He said some of the height of the buildings was to allow the enclosure of rooftop space. This enclosure had been important to the neighborhood. He noted the rooftop space was not spread across the entire footprint of the building. The bulk of the houses were not at 38 feet. He said the height request was not a variance, so a hardship analysis would not apply. He noted the request to allow 38 feet to the peak of the roof was for ease of measurement, as compared to having to measure to median height on varied house plans.

Commissioner Flanigan asked about the height of the various floors of the houses. Mr. Daniel showed the front and rear elevations and read the measurements of the various floors of the houses.

Mr. Daniel said the intent was to allow a peak height of 38 feet to account for varied rooflines throughout the development.

Ms. Papke clarified that the increased height was a conditional use in the R2 District. Therefore, the analysis of the requested entitlement should be relative to the standards for conditional uses rather than the standards for variations.

Commissioner Sweetser asked about the topography of the subject property and the impact on building height and drainage. Mr. Daniel said the subject property is relatively flat. The request for the additional height was driven by the desire for varied rooflines more than topography.

Commissioner Flanigan asked if there are other gated communities in Lombard. Mr. Daniel said there are no existing gated communities in the Village. He said the developer desired the gate to prevent cut-through traffic. He noted that the homeowners' association would be responsible for maintenance, so wanted to limit cut-through traffic that might add wear and tear on the drive. The gate also promoted safety.

Commissioner Flanigan asked how much time would be added for vehicles making a turn into the driveway with the gate in place. Mr. Daniel said there would be a pause but noted that the gates would also meter traffic leaving the development onto Meyers Road or 14th Street.

Commissioner Flanigan asked if there would be any way of redesigning the driveways to remove the gate. Mr. Daniel said removal of the gate would be a dealbreaker.

Commissioner Flanigan asked for additional explanation. Mr. Daniel said it was a private street being maintained by homeowners. The developer did not want it to become a public street because it would cause maintenance and insurance issues. He said the appearance of the private street was also a selling point for the development. He said the gate was not intended to be exclusionary. He said the developer was willing to provide pedestrian access into the development.

Commissioner Johnston asked if the gate would be operable 24 hours per day. Mr. Daniel said it would be.

Commissioner Johnston asked if pedestrians could walk from School Street to Meyers Road through the development. Mr. Danial said yes.

Commissioner Johnston said he was concerned about the height of the buildings. He understood the desire to have taller houses from an aesthetic standpoint, but he did not think it met the standards for a hardship.

Commissioner Verson said she had fewer concerns overall about the revised development than she had about the previously approved development. She said overall there were fewer variations.

Commissioner Spreenberg was concerned about dropping the number of units by half but not increasing the open space. He said he had mixed feelings about having a gated community. He acknowledged that it was a private street. He asked if a resident could put a gate across a driveway. Ms. Papke said they could, so long as it met relevant fencing height and location requirements.

Commissioner Flanigan asked about previously granted relief to allow lots without frontage on a public street. Ms. Papke said the Subdivisions and Development Ordinance requires platted lots to have frontage on public streets. The relief was required for this development because the lots were fronting on a private street. This relief was granted for the original development proposal and would not change with the revised plan.

Commissioner Flanigan said it seemed like the development would set a precedent to allow private streets and gated communities. He suggested there could be some amendments that would remove the gate from the plan.

Mr. Daniel said the 22-lot approval with access from a private drive was the result of community, Village and County preference to avoid multiple driveways onto Meyers Road and School Street. He said the development could be revised to place individual driveways onto Meyers Road and School Street, but this would not make the neighborhood happy, and it would remove the benefit of the landscaping around the perimeter of the development. He said the 22-unit plan was entitled. The proposed revised plan for 11 houses would reduce the number of units. He said if there was a desire to remove the private street, it would result in the development being turned toward the surrounding streets, with additional driveways. He said this was not preferred.

Chairperson Giuliano said the site was already approved for 22 homes with a gate in place. She said if the Plan Commission wanted to modify the gate with the 11-unit plan, the developer could still proceed with the 22-unit plan with the gate.

Commissioner Johnston said the analysis of the height of the buildings was different now that the plan was for 11 rather than 22 units. He said the scope of the project had changed from the plan that was presented and approved in 2024.

Commissioner Spreenberg asked for clarification that if the revised plan were not approved, the developer could proceed with the previously approved 22-unit plan. Ms. Papke said this was correct.

Commissioner Spreenberg said he did not like the gate and did not understand the need for the gate.

Commissioner Verson said the revised plan required less relief than the approved plan, and she thought the revised plan was a better option.

Commissioner Spreenberg agreed.

On a motion by Commissioner Verson, and a second by Commissioner Spreenberg, the Plan Commission voted 6-0 to recommend that the Village Board approve the petition associated with PC 25-11 subject to the four (4) conditions in the staff report:

1. That the petitioner shall satisfactorily address all comments noted within the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report;
2. That the petitioner shall develop the site in accordance with the plans submitted as part of this petition and referenced in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report, except as they may be changed to conform to Village Code;
3. That the petitioner shall apply for and receive building permits for the proposed improvements; and
4. That this approval shall be subject to the commencement time provisions as set forth within Section 155.103(F)(11).

Respectfully,

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD

Leigh Giuliano, Chairperson
Lombard Plan Commission

PLAN COMMISSION

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT

1308-1330 S. MEYERS ROAD (PINNACLE AT MEYERS – REVISED PLAN)

July 21, 2025

Title

PC 25-10

Petitioner & Property Owner

Afsar Developers, LLC
Mark W. Daniel/Daniel Law Office
17W733 Butterfield Road, Suite F
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

Property Location

1308-1330 S. Meyers Road
PINs: 06-21-102-035 thru -057
(formerly identified as 06-21-102-010, 06-21-102-011, 06-21-102-012, 06-21-102-013, 06-21-102-014, and 06-21-102-028)

Zoning

R2PD Single-Family Residence
District Planned Development

Existing Land Use

Vacant land, single-family residences,
and nonconforming business

Comprehensive Plan


Low Density Residential

Approval Sought

Amend approvals previously granted to allow 11 detached single-family homes instead of 22 detached single-family homes and approve a revised final plat of subdivision.

Prepared By

Anna Papke, AICP
Planning and Zoning Manager



LOCATION MAP

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property is the Pinnacle at Meyers Planned Development. In 2024, the Village approved zoning entitlements for a development consisting of 22 detached single-family residences in a gated community (PC 24-07). The approval included improvements to the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way. Work on the site infrastructure, including internal roadway and utilities, is ongoing.

The petitioner proposes to amend the previously approved plan to allow for development of 11 detached single-family residences instead of 22 detached single-family residences. The layout of the site infrastructure (internal roadway and utilities) will not change. No changes are proposed to the improvements previously approved for the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way. The amended plan requires review and approval through the Plan Commission public hearing process.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Construction is underway on the site work and infrastructure that was approved for the subject property in 2024.

PROJECT STATS

Lot & Bulk

Parcel Size: 3.91 acres

2024 approval

Dwelling units: 22

Density: 5.62 units per
acre

2025 request

Dwelling units: 11

Density: 2.81 units per
acre

Submittals

1. Exhibit A.

APPROVAL(S) REQUIRED

The petitioner requests that the Village take the following actions on the subject property (1308-1330 S. Meyers Road), located within the Village of Lombard R2PD Single-Family Residence District Planned Development (Pinnacle Planned Development)

1. Amend the approvals previously requested through Plan Commission petition PC 24-07, and granted by Ordinance No. 8292, as follows:

- a. Pursuant to Section 155.504 of Village Code, approve the following major changes to the Pinnacle Planned Development:

- i. Amendment to provide for development of 11 detached single-family residences, where the previous approval provided for 22 detached single-family residences;
- ii. Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(1)(a)(iv), which requires a front yard of 30 feet, deviations in order to adjust the prior relief granted for 22 lots and allow front yards of 28 feet on Lots 1-3 and 25 feet on Lots 4-11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan and preliminary plat of subdivision;
- iii. Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(2), which requires a corner side yard of 20 feet, deviations in order to adjust the prior relief granted for 22 lots and allow corner side yards of nine (9) feet on Lot 4, and ten (10) feet on Lot 11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan (all dimensions measured to the lot line shared with Outlot A);
- iv. Pursuant to Section 154.506(D), variations in order to permit 11 lots with frontage on the private streets within the subdivision, where the previous approval provided this relief for 22 lots;
- v. Pursuant to Section 155.210 and 155.210(A)(2)(b), a variation in order to allow an above-ground utility cabinet before the principal building and allow the cabinet in front of the south and east walls of the building on Lot 3, where previously this relief was granted relative to the same location on prior Lot 6;

APPROVAL(S) REQUIRED (cont.)

b. Elimination of the following relief approved by Ordinance 8292:

- i. Pursuant to Section 155.407(E), deviations to allow individual lot widths less than 60 feet;
- ii. Pursuant to 155.407(F)(3), deviations to allow interior side yards of less than six (6) feet;

c. Preservation of the following relief approved by Ordinance 8292:

- i. Pursuant to Section 155.407(G)(2) of Village Code, approve a conditional use for building height not to exceed 38 feet or three stories;
- ii. Pursuant to Sections 155.510(A)(1) and Section 155.407(H), deviations in order to allow open space to be calculated across all parcels in the planned development rather than on a parcel-by-parcel basis, and to allow a development with 45% open space where 50% open space is required;
- iii. Pursuant to Section 155.205(A)(1)(c), a variation in order to allow, as shown in the Landscape Plan and Planned Development Fence Plan, a 6-foot fence on Outlot A at all locations (a portion of the north fence extends along the abutting front yard to the north) except near the Meyers Road and 14th Street driveways where a 4-foot fence is depicted;
- iv. Pursuant to Section 155.711, variations in order to allow innovative landscaping per the submitted Landscape Plan;
- v. Pursuant to Section 154.304(D)(2) and Section 154.306(D)(2), variations in order to allow public improvements to the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way depicted in the preliminary engineering plan, Planned Development Site Plan and Landscape Plan, as determined upon hearing and decision;
- vi. Pursuant to Section 154.304(D)(3), Section 154.306(D)(3) and Section 154.309, variations in order to allow improvements to the Meyers Road right-of-way depicted in the preliminary engineering plan, Planned Development Site Plan and Landscape Plan, as determined upon hearing and decision;
- vii. Pursuant to Section 154.407(A) and Section 154.503(D), variations in order to continue the existing widths of all abutting rights-of-way and pavement widths thereof;
- viii. Pursuant to Section 154.510 and Section 150.301, variations in order to permit the driveways onto Meyers Road and onto 14th Street as depicted in the preliminary engineering plans and Planned Development Site Plan provided that the gate shall remain operable to allow entry by all vehicles without access control so as not to stack vehicles over the sidewalk or cause backing movements;
- ix. Such other variations from Chapter 154, including those which exclude final landscape treatment from public improvements required to be completed prior to the initiation of the final ten percent (10%) of units but only to the extent required on lots that have not been certified for occupancy, as deemed necessary and appropriate;
- x. Pursuant to Section 153.232(B), a deviation in order to allow each subdivision sign at a height of six (6) feet, where a height of four (4) feet is permitted; and

2. Approve a revised final plat of subdivision pursuant to Section 154.203(D) of Village Code.

PREVIOUS APPROVAL AND CURRENT REQUEST

In 2024, the majority of the subject property was outside the Village of Lombard corporate limits. The petitioner initially proposed to develop the three lots addressed 1312 S. Meyers Road with 15 duplex buildings (30 units total, density of approximately 15 units per acre) under the then-existing DuPage County zoning designation of R-3. The original site plan called for three rows of duplexes facing internal drives running east to west from Meyers Road to School Street. Water service would have been provided by DuPage County, with connection to a private sanitary sewer system through an easement on a private property on the west side of School Street. The DuPage County Zoning Board of Appeals held a public hearing on this development proposal in February 2024.

Shortly after the DuPage County public hearing, the petitioner approached the Village with a request to annex the property and connect to Village utilities. In response to staff and community concerns about density and site layout, the petitioner acquired the properties at 1308, 1320, and 1330 S. Meyers Road and revised the development proposal to a single-family residential development with internal private access drive. The final plan showed 22 single-family residences with a density of 5.62 units per acre. In September 2024, the Village approved zoning entitlements for a development consisting of 22 detached single-family residences in a gated community (PC 24-07, Ordinances 8288, 8289, 8290, 8291, and 8292). The final approvals included improvements to the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way.

As of July 2025, construction on the approved development is underway. The petitioner is marketing the development to potential buyers and has received interest from buyers who would like to build houses that are larger than the houses approved for the site. In response to this market interest, the petitioner now proposes to amend the approved plan to allow for development of 11 detached single-family residences instead of 22 detached single-family residences. Figures 1 and 2 show the approved and revised site plans. In comparing the approved site plan to the revised proposal, staff notes the following:

- The petitioner will reduce the number of units by combining pairs of lots into larger lots (i.e., Lots 1 and 2 are consolidated, Lots 3 and 4 are consolidated, etc.).
- The density of the development will decrease from 5.62 units/acre to 2.81 units/acre.
- The distance between the houses will increase from six feet to 12 feet or greater.
- The height of the proposed buildings will be a maximum of 38 feet at peak height, as previously approved.
- The setbacks of the houses from the perimeter of the development under the revised plan are greater than or equal to the perimeter setbacks on the approved plan.
- No changes are proposed to the landscaping or fencing around the perimeter of the site.
- No changes are proposed to the overall site layout or utility infrastructure.
- No changes are proposed to the site access from adjacent roadways. The development will have one connection to Meyers Road and one connection to 14th Street.
- No changes are proposed to the improvements previously approved for School Street and 14th Street. These consist of streetlights and a sidewalk along the east side of School Street, and a crosswalk on 14th Street.
- The open space in the development will remain 45%, as previously approved.





Figure 1. Approved site plan.



The petitioner is requesting amendments to Ordinance 8292 (PC 24-07) to address the revised development plan. The revised development proposal requires a revision to the language of the zoning relief previously granted. Furthermore, some of the entitlements previously approved for the property remain necessary for the revised plan, and the petitioner requests these approvals be preserved. Two previously approved entitlements are no longer required. The following chart explains how the petitioner's current request relates to the previous approval.

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY ORDINANCE 8292 (PC 24-07), PETITIONER REQUESTS TO AMEND THESE ENTITLEMENTS

Item Number in PC 25-10

Public Notice	Entitlement
1.a.i.	Allow 11 detached single-family residences instead of 22 detached single-family residences
1.a.ii.	Amend previously granted deviation for front yard setbacks to allow front yards of 28' and 25' feet throughout the development (front yard face interior drive aisle)
1.a.iii.	Amend previously granted deviation for corner side yard setbacks
1.a.iv.	Amend previously approved variation to allow 11 lots with frontage on private street instead of 22 lots with frontage on private street
1.a.v.	Amend previously approved variation for utility cabinet to reference Lot 3 instead of Lot 6

**PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY ORDINANCE 8292 (PC 24-07), REQUIRED FOR REVISED PLAN
PETITIONER REQUESTS TO PRESERVE THESE ENTITLEMENTS**

Item Number in PC 25-10

Public Notice	Entitlement
1.c.i.	Conditional use for buildings with peak height of 38 feet
1.c.ii.	Deviation to allow a planned development with 45% open space
1.c.iii.	Variation to allow a six-foot-high fence around perimeter of development, with four-foot-high fence in proximity to Meyers Road and 14 th Street connections
1.c.iv.	Variation to allow innovative landscaping
1.c.v.	Variations to allow public improvements on School Street and 14 th Street as shown on preliminary engineering plan
1.c.vi.	Variations to allow public improvements on Meyers Road as shown on preliminary engineering plan

1.c.vii.	Variations to allow continuance of existing widths of abutting rights-of-way
1.c.viii.	Variations to allow driveways onto Meyers Road and 14 th Street as shown on preliminary engineering plan, provided gate remains operable to allow entry by all vehicles without access control
1.c.ix.	Variations from Chapter 154 to exclude final landscape treatment from public improvements for purposes of completing final 10% of units
1.c.x.	Deviation to allow subdivision sight at a height of six feet

**PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY ORDINANCE 8292 (PC 24-07), NOT REQUIRED FOR
REVISED PLAN
PETITIONER REQUESTS TO ELIMINATE THESE ENTITLEMENTS**

Item Number in PC 25-10

Public Notice	Entitlement
1.b.i.	Deviations to allow individual lot widths of less than 60 feet
1.b.ii.	Deviations to allow interior side yards of less than six feet

The subject property is subject to an annexation agreement that was approved concurrent with the 2024 zoning entitlements. The approved annexation agreement incorporates the approved development plan by reference. Should the petitioner's request for approval of an amended site plan be approved by the Village Board, the annexation agreement will require amendment. Annexation agreements are approved and amended at the sole discretion of the Village Board. The Plan Commission is requested to consider the request for amended zoning entitlements and make a recommendation to the Village Board. The Plan Commission's recommendation on the zoning petition will be forwarded to the Village Board for consideration in conjunction with the petitioner's request to amend the annexation agreement.

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW

Building Division:

The Building Division has no comments on the petition. Additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

Fire Department:

The Fire Department has no comments on the petition. Additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

Private Engineering Services:

Private Engineering Services has no comments on the petition. Additional comments may be forthcoming during final site engineering permit review.

Public Works:

The Department of Public Works has the following comment on the petition. Additional comments may be forthcoming during permit review.

1. The proposed parkway trees in the public rights-of-way shall be pre-paid as part of the building permit, and then be planted, owned, and maintained by the Village.

Planning Services Division:

The Planning Services Division (PSD) notes the following:

1. Surrounding Zoning & Land Use Compatibility

	Zoning Districts	Land Use
North	R-3 (DuPage County)	Single-family residence
South	R-3 (DuPage County), R0	Single-family residence, Village pump station
East	R-4 (DuPage County)	Single-family residences
West	R0	Single-family residences

The subject property is located in the 1300 Block of South Meyers Road. School Street and 14th Street border the west and south sides of the subject property, respectively. The north end of the block fronts Roosevelt Road and is developed with commercial uses, including a gas station, home improvement store, and daycare. The southern two-thirds of the block, including the subject property, contains single-family homes on lots of varying sizes, with some vacant lots. The area on the west side of School Street is the York Center Co-Op, a large-lot single-family residential subdivision, most of which is unincorporated. Properties on the east side of Meyers Road are also unincorporated single-family residences. York Center Elementary School and a religious institution (Taiwanese Community Church) are located generally south of the subject property. The petitioner's land use proposal for a single-family residential subdivision on the subject property is compatible with the surrounding land uses.

2. Comprehensive Plan Compatibility

The Comprehensive Plan recommends Low Density Residential land uses on the subject property. The Comprehensive Plan designation for the subject property was amended from Estate Residential to Low Density Residential with the 2024 zoning entitlement approval. The petitioner's proposal for an 11-lot single-family residential subdivision is consistent with this Comp. Plan designation.

3. Zoning Compatibility and Consistency with Previously Approved Zoning Entitlements

The petitioner is proposing 11 single-family homes on small lots facing a private internal drive. The site layout is unchanged from the plan approved in 2024. Access to each residential lot will be from the private drive. The private drive and the landscape areas around the perimeter of the development will be owned and managed by a homeowners' association. Access to the development will be provided by one curb cut on Meyers Road and one curb cut on 14th Street. A fence will enclose the perimeter of the development.

PC 24-07 included approval of a planned development in the R2 District with companion conditional use, deviations and variations. The proposed revised plan for 11 residences is largely consistent with the requirements of the underlying R2 District or the zoning relief granted by PC 24-07. The following table summarizes the bulk requirements for the approved plan and the proposed plan.

Bulk Requirements

	R2 District Requirement	Approved Plan	Revised Plan (Pending)	Amendment to 2024 Entitlements Required to Approve Revised Plan?
<i>Minimum Lot Width</i>	60'	38' - 63' (approved by deviation)	72' - 103'	Relief no longer required
<i>Minimum Lot Area</i>	7,500 SF	4,600-6,900 SF (approved by deviation)	11,000-14,000 SF	Relief no longer required
<i>Maximum Density</i>	5.8 DU/acre	5.62 DU/acre	3.81 DU/acre	No relief required for either plan
<i>Minimum Front Yard Setback</i>	30'	20-24' (approved by deviation)	25' - 28'	Yes
<i>Minimum Corner Side Yard Setback</i>	20'	1' (approved by deviation)	9' - 10'	Yes
<i>Minimum Interior Side Yard Setback</i>	6'	3' (approved by deviation)	6'	Relief no longer required
<i>Minimum Rear Yard Setback</i>	25'	25' +	25' +	No relief required for either plan
<i>Maximum Building Height</i>	30'	38' (approved by conditional use)	38'	No
<i>Minimum Open Space</i>	50%	45% (approved by deviation)	45%	No

The revised plan is largely consistent with the existing zoning entitlements. As shown in the above table, several pieces of zoning relief granted for the approved plan are not required for the revised plan. Some of the previously granted zoning relief is still needed, but the degree of nonconformity to the underlying zoning district requirement is reduced. Overall, staff finds the revised plan lessens the amount of zoning relief required for the development.

4. Building Size and Design

The 11 homes in the revised concept will be larger than the building footprints in the approved 22-lot plan (Figures 3 and 4). Floor plans submitted by the petitioner show homes in the 11-lot plan will have approximately 12,000 square feet of livable space, including the basement. Homes in the 22-lot plan have approximately 6,600 square feet of livable space. The petitioner's materials indicate the number of bedrooms is not increasing. Both concepts call for five bedrooms with the option of two additional bedrooms in the basement.




Figure 3. Lots 1 and 2, approved plan.




Figure 4. Lot 1, revised plan.

The petitioner has submitted updated building elevations and renderings. The architectural design of the revised houses is similar to the previously approved concept plans. Building height is unchanged at a peak height of 38 feet. The rooftop deck area in the revised plan is smaller than the rooftop deck in the approved concept plans.

5. *Parking and Traffic Circulation*

Under the approved plan, each residence contains a two-car garage plus parking for two to four cars in the driveway. Under the revised plan, each residence would have a six-car garage plus parking for three to four cars in the driveway. With over six parking spaces per unit, the development will exceed the Village Code requirement of two spaces per single-family dwelling unit.

Access to the development is unchanged from the previously approved plan. The drive from Meyers Road will be a right-in/right-out connection. The petitioner is in the process of obtaining a permit for this driveway from DuPage County, which has jurisdiction over Meyers Road. The driveway off 14th Street, a Village-controlled right-of-way, will be full access. Internal circulation and access to individual residences will occur via the internal private drive. No changes are proposed to the internal drive layout.

The 22-unit plan was reviewed by the Village's traffic consultant, KLOA, in 2024. KLOA found the 22-unit single-family residential development would generate a relatively low volume of traffic during peak hours. KLOA concluded the overall roadway system is adequate to accommodate the traffic generated by the 22-unit development. KLOA has reviewed the revised site plan and finds the 11-unit plan is projected to generate less traffic than the previously approved plan. A table comparing trip generation levels for the approved plan versus the revised plan is attached to this report.

6. *Request for Approval of a Revised Final Plat of Subdivision*

The petitioner requests approval of a revised final plat of subdivision to reflect the revised site layout. The revised plat alters the location of one public utility and drainage easement that was granted on the original plat of subdivision. The petitioner will submit a plat of abrogation for the original easement to the Village and benefitting utilities prior to recording the revised final plat of subdivision.

7. *Neighborhood Meeting and Public Comment*

The petitioner conducted a neighborhood meeting on July 1, 2025. 40 to 50 community members attended and had the opportunity to ask questions of the petitioner about the revised development plan.

Prior to the Plan Commission hearing, staff received one written comment on the petition. This letter is attached to the staff report.

SITE HISTORY

2008: For the 1308 S. Meyers Road property, an annexation agreement, annexation, and rezoning of the property to the R1 Single Family Residence District was approved by the Village Board on November 6, 2008 (Ordinances 6270 and 6272) and recorded on December 30, 2008.

2024 (PC 24-07): Approval of Comprehensive Plan map amendment, Zoning Map amendment, and zoning entitlements for a planned development with companion conditional use, deviations and variations for a 22-lot single-family residential development.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS


Staff finds that the development proposal for the subject property is consistent with its surrounding context, the Village of Lombard Comprehensive Plan, the Zoning Ordinance, and zoning entitlements previously granted by Ordinance 8292 (PC 24-07).

The Inter-Departmental Review Committee has reviewed the standards for the major changes to an approved planned development (Pinnacle Planned Development) and finds that the petition **complies** with the standards established by the Village of Lombard Zoning Ordinance, subject to conditions of approval based on the above considerations. As such, the Inter-Departmental Review Committee recommends that the Plan Commission make the following motion for **approval** of PC 25-10:

Based on the submitted petition and the testimony presented, the petition does comply with the standards required by the Village of Lombard Zoning Ordinance and that approval of the petition is in the public interest and, therefore, I move that the Plan Commission accept the findings of the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report as the findings of the Plan Commission, and recommend to the Village Board **approval** of PC 25-10, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the petitioner shall satisfactorily address all comments noted within the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report;
2. That the petitioner shall develop the site in accordance with the plans submitted as part of this petition and referenced in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report, except as they may be changed to conform to Village Code;
3. That the petitioner shall apply for and receive building permits for the proposed improvements; and
4. That this approval shall be subject to the commencement time provisions as set forth within Section 155.103(F)(11).

Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report approved by:


Trevor Dick, FAICP
Director of Economic Development and Planning
c. Petitioner

attachment: Trip Generation Comparison Table, prepared by KLOA.
Public comment received prior to staff report finalization (1)

H:\CD\WORDUSER\PCCASES\2025\PC 25-10 Pinnacle\PC 25-10_IDRC Report.docx

EXHIBIT A
PETITIONER'S SUBMITTALS

1. (001) The Village's form application for zoning relief (15 copies);
2. (002) Legal description;
3. (003) Title insurance policies and commitments for the property
4. (004) Recent deeds for the properties (note that most recent will be provided upon request once the County Recorder of Deeds site is functional);
5. (005) Authorization and disclosure from the owner;
6. (006) Parcel Map;
7. (007) County GIS aerial photograph;
8. (008) Recorded Plat of The Pinnacle at Meyers Subdivision;
9. (009) Topographical survey prepared by Ridgeline Consultants;
10. (010) Approved Landscape Plan;
11. (011) Approved Fence Plan;
12. (012) Proposed Final Plat for The Pinnacle at Meyers First Resubdivision;
13. (013) Amended Planned Development Plan for The Pinnacle at Meyers;
14. (014) Table of Lot Area and Open Space by Parcel;
15. (015) Preliminary engineering (to be delivered separately);
16. (016) Exterior and Interior Design Summary;
17. (017) Elevation and roof options;
18. (018) Interior Drawings;
19. (019) Summary of Revisions;
20. (020) Itemization of relief;
21. (021) Table of Compliance; and
22. (022) Project narrative.

Table 1

TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON

ITE Land Use Code	Type/Size	Weekday Morning Peak Hour			Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour			Weekday Evening Peak Hour			Weekday Daily Trips		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
210	Single-Family Detached Housing (24 units)	5	15	20	10	8	18	16	10	26	136	136	272
210	Single-Family Detached Housing (11 units)	2	8	10	5	3	8	8	4	12	66	66	132
	Difference	-3	-7	-10	-5	-5	-10	-8	-6	-14	-70	-70	-140

Papke, Anna

From: (C)
Sent: Friday, July 11, 2025 4:08 PM
To: Papke, Anna
Subject: Information for meeting on 7/21/2025

Please be cautious

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, Anna,

Please include the following information in the packet for the meeting on 7/21/25.

Thanks for your continued assistance.

Doris Dornberger

Lombard Planning Commission:

I am writing to you again about The Pinnacle on Meyers, a development about which I have written many other letters in the last 16 months. I am glad to see that the developer has again decreased the number of homes he is proposing to place on these approximate 4 acres. Hopefully this will reduce some of the issues resulting from this project that people have described in previous versions.

Traffic and pedestrian safety, parking, very limited green space, and water collection and drainage continue to be concerns for me.

It seems obvious that the developer knows that parking is an important issue since he is now planning to have 6 parking spaces within each home. Apparently as part of each home site, there will also be 4 exterior spots. The attorney during the community meeting stated that there will be times they will need to limit parking, so we can assume there will be times when 10 spots are not adequate. Community members have repeatedly described to your Planning Commission and Lombard Board of Trustees how our community cannot manage this development's overflow traffic. Our streets are too narrow to have cars parking on them; a moving car must go into the opposite lane to avoid a parked car. Just today, I needed to back up as I was attempting to drive on School St. when there was a truck parked by the development, a person walking on the opposite of the street, and another car coming toward me. There just was not enough room for all of us to be safe.

Water retention and water runoff continue to be concerns. Much of the area has been torn up to install an unwater catchment and retention system. Water was running across the road from the development into the lot on the northwest corner of 14th and School during a recent heavy rain, and this was apparently with underground water storage equipment having been installed and no buildings on the property. Multiple variations to decrease the amount of open space and green continue to be requested for this project. The proposed homes are now 12,000 square feet, but the developer still cannot provide the few extra feet in multiple areas to comply with Lombard standards. Water concerns would decrease if the various regulations for lot size complied with Lombard regulations. Also, if the 4 exterior parking spaces were constructed of permeable pavement, that would also help with watershed. Providing additional trees and bushes would also provide more water absorption. People spending \$2.5 million for a home should be able to provide these important accommodations for the environment..

My concerns about safety are tentatively decreased by the smaller number of homes now being proposed; however, 7 bedrooms and 10 parking spaces certainly suggest a large number of people living there. There is likely to be traffic backup going in and out of this development, both on 14th St. and Meyers Rd. 14th St. will be an entrance and exit for the development, as well as the entrance for the people living in the 80 other homes in this same area, and the elementary school and the many other people who use this and School Street to be able to avoid the stoplight at Roosevelt and Meyers. Having gates at the entrances/exits for Pinnacle will also add to the congestion in those spots.

Please consider these concerns and suggest additional remedies to promote the safety and well being of everyone living in this area. The people in these new homes could also benefit from such accommodations.

Thank you for considering these various concerns.

Doris Dornberger

19 W020 13th St.

Lombard,

VILLAGE OF LOMBARD

Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

1308, 1312, 1320, 1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois

Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028

LOTS 1-22, LOT A IN THE PINNACLE AT MEYERS SUBDIVISION

AFSAR DEVELOPERS LLC ("Applicant"), as owner of 1312 South Meyers Road (PINs -011, -012, -013), 1330 South Meyers Road (PIN -028), 1308 South Meyers Road (PIN -010), and 1320 South Meyers Road (PIN -014), respectfully seeks recommendation and approval of a reduction to eleven (11) homes from the currently entitled 24-lot single-family residential development relying on the authorizations set forth in the Itemization of Relief. Generally, the density reduction to eleven lots avoids a number of deviations while reducing the extent of others.

Project History

Applicant now owns all of the land and it has initiated site work. The density reduction does not change the private road and home orientation or impede perimeter open spaces. Applicant essentially found a better market that fits in Lombard and this may actually benefit other developers.

Applicant believes this project will appear as contemplated along the Meyers Road corridor. Homes will remain below 38 feet with double the planned spacing.

The Subject Property

The Village zoned the Subject Property R-2 and allowed a 22-lot planned development with a common outlet. The consolidation of lots to allow eleven lots would be simple in the absence of a planned development and annexation agreement. Aware of neighbor interest, staff informed that the matter was obviously going to see an additional public meeting and counsel for the Village and staff determined it was best to treat this request for density reduction as a major change and process it accordingly.

The Village's Comprehensive Plan contemplates low to medium density on the Subject Property. R2 zoning would be a reasonable zoning classification, but R3 zoning in a transitional setting exists on the east side of Westmore-Meyers Road north of Roosevelt Road. The Village allowed 22 homes in an R2 planned development with a density that is 106% of what is allowed. With the reduction, the density will be within guidelines. The reduction also leads to other benefits such as more open space and more space between buildings, as well as being able to place a roof over the rooftop areas and internalize parking on each lot in the development.

About the Project

The Pinnacle at Meyers will maintain the same design but have fewer lots. The Meyers Road driveway design meets DuDOT standards.

Outlot A will remain a common area that includes all perimeter areas of the development and the interior private street areas. The association will own and operate Outlot A. Outlot A will remain subject to a collection of Village easements as well as utility and drainage easements. The utility companies have knowledge of the change and no objection. Stormwater storage is still planned underground between the buildings, and related easements will favor the association and Village. On the perimeter, the Village will still have a blanket easement outside of the fenceline so that it can undertake any activity in the event the association fails to do so (the area outside the

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 2

fences will be planted and irrigated). The association will maintain the Outlot A improvements other than the part of the sidewalk on School Street that crosses onto Outlot A which is a public improvement and any other improvements under Village or County control.

The perimeter fence will remain as planned to provide privacy to individual lots and allow some screening for neighbors to the west.

Project landscaping will substantially conform to approval plans. Applicant provides for larger yards and more building spacing while maintaining some building offset.

The Residential Experience at The Pinnacle at Meyers

The Pinnacle at Meyers floor plans reflect four levels that are reflected in the submitted plans. Total livable area has increased and each home features a six-car garage. Driveways can host three or four cars, but only two overnight under an older Lombard ordinance on the subject. The open rooftop areas are now significantly covered by roof.

The maximum height of any building will remain 38 feet. The Village will rely on the maximum height of the roof at its tallest point. Since all roofs will be lower than 38 feet, as measured according to the Zoning Ordinance, and due to the number of roof variations, it is still more convenient to regulate maximum height of each building in this development.

Elevations, floor plans, and interior and exterior presentations in the materials lay out the living areas. The bulk of the narrative explaining the project in 2024 still applies. The same is true of jurisdictional matters (sanitary, roads, and utilities).

The Property and Its Vicinity

Applicant substantially repeats the discussion of the area that was in the 2024 narrative. The Subject Property is zoned R-2 and comprises 23 lots between Meyers Road (a County highway) and School Street (a local street), south of Roosevelt Road (a state highway). The project relies on Meyers Road and 14th Street for access. Pedestrian access is also planned at School Street.

Pace Route 313 has bus stops on Meyers Road near the Subject Property. Meyers Road is County Highway 25 which extends from Roosevelt Road south to Ogden Avenue and offers convenient access to Downers Grove, Lombard, Oak Brook, Oakbrook Terrace and Villa Park. Only a few minutes further lie the communities of Elmhurst, Lisle and Westmont. The largest retail and employment resources in DuPage County are convenient to the Subject Property. The Subject Property is near Illinois Route 38 (Roosevelt Road) and Illinois Route 56 (Butterfield Road)—each of which offers access to the west half of DuPage County.

The Subject Property is within the following jurisdictions: County of DuPage; Village of Lombard; Flag Creek Water Reclamation District; York Center Park District; York Center Fire Protection District; High School District 88 (Willowbrook High School); Grade School District 45 (York Center Elementary School is across 14th Street). Water and sewer will be provided by the Village of Lombard. Lombard annexed the development tract in 2008 and 2024.

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 3

Despite demolition of structures on site, the block still includes features conforming and nonconforming uses in the County R-3 Single-Family Residence District as well as uses in the Lombard R-1 Single Family District, B-3 Community Shopping District and C-4A Roosevelt Road Corridor District. Opposite the Subject Property on School Street is a stretch of homes in Lombard's R0 Single Family zoning district. A large County R-3 zoning district (larger than 60 acres) extends west from these homes. A large County R-4 zoning district runs along the east side of Meyers Road and extends east to Michigan Avenue.

Lombard plans to the east side of Meyers Road (historically the division between Lombard and Oakbrook Terrace planning areas pursuant to a boundary line agreement) and it has annexed along the west side of School Street south to York Center Elementary School and the Taiwanese Community Church. This finger of Lombard extends into 625 or more acres of unincorporated territory that includes residential (single family, duplex, townhouse, apartment), office and commercial uses as well as public and private schools, public parks, and religious uses. Across 14th Street from the Subject Property, there are two homes, the Taiwanese Community Church, York Center Elementary School and a Lombard public works facility. Further south are Knolls Park (York Center), Knollcrest Funeral Home, and the York Township offices. Montini Catholic High School abuts Knolls Park on the west.

Meyers Road is County Highway 25. The local portion of 14th Street is a two-lane street with its main stretch extending from Chase Avenue nearly 4,000 feet to the east where it intersects with Michigan Avenue. The intersection of Meyers Road and 14th Street features a stoplight as well as through lanes and left turn lanes for all traffic. Uses along this stretch include residential uses, a school, religious institutional, public works facilities, and parks. The south portion of the 14th Street right of way includes student bike racks, parking and loading areas extending nearly 300 feet west of the east line of School Street. School Street is a two-lane street, the west side of which is residential in character while the east side includes a blend of uses described below.

York Center Elementary School has drop off and pick up operations as well as parking and student bike racks within the 14th Street right of way. Periodically observed drop off periods have a morning busy period lasting approximately 8-10 minutes, depending on weather. Afternoon peak school activity along 14th Street lasts between four and eight minutes. There is no change in the direction or flow of traffic along either School Street or 14th Street for the school. Staff at York Center Elementary School confirmed these present conditions.

Sidewalks exist along both sides of Meyers Road and along the south side of 14th Street to the west line of the York Center Elementary School parking lot. There are no sidewalks along School Street or any of the residential streets west of School Street, but Applicant will install a sidewalk along the east side of School Street in cooperation with Lombard.

1330 South Meyers Road has not been capable of development without other land. It has been commonly understood that this parcel stretches from the southeast corner of the intersection of Roosevelt Road and School Street south to 14th Street where it extends east to Meyers Road along the south side of the former Township garage site, but the annexation agreement resolved treatment of the gap strip. The long northerly extension of 1330 South Meyers Road depicted in the County GIS system along the east side of School Street) has been the subject of nearly 100 years of adverse use by parcels within the Subject Property that now extend across the gap. This includes all lots within the Subject Property. The Ray Graham Association owns land that can only be accessed across the gap. Multiple commercial uses near Roosevelt Road and a few single family

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 4

homes rely on the gap strip, so Lombard control over this is co-executive with others. Within the development, all access other than through a pedestrian gate will be to Meyers Road or 14th Street.

Homes on lots extending north to Roosevelt Road developed by the mid-1950's and the Township Highway Department facility was long in place to the south of the Subject Property. Soon after the Subject Property and its block developed, the area within the West York Center Community Co-Op Subdivision to the west developed to single family and park and recreation space. With the growth of DuPage County, Roosevelt Road rapidly commercialized, and by 1998, the block was bookended with non-residential use with at least three lots in the middle hosting outdoor storage uses along School Street. The north three acres hosted commercial uses and the south 1.2 acres was dominated by the trucking and automobile storage use at the old Township garage site. The cooperative dissolved more than ten years ago.

West of the Subject Property are four single family homes, one of which has a 100-foot long driveway. These uses are in Lombard. Along the east side of Meyers Road, there is an outdoor storage facility for the York Township Highway Department and a series of single-family homes that extend north to a CVS Pharmacy on the southeast corner of the intersection of Roosevelt Road and Meyers Road. The bus stop (Route 313) is opposite the Subject Property.

Comprehensive Planning Objectives

All standards for zoning approvals in this matter relate somehow to the Village's Comprehensive Plan. As noted in 2024, the Comprehensive Plan strongly supports R2 zoning. The proposed development meets the prerogatives in the Comprehensive Plan, and does so with greater success than the approved plan.

The approved amendment to the 2014 Comprehensive Plan at Page 23 (before the introduction of the five residential area designations), remain appropriate:

Areas within the R0, R1, R2, and R3 districts, including those the Plan identifies as in Residential Estate or Low Density Residential areas, which are situated uniquely for unified development or situated along highways or arterial roads should be evaluated for higher density uses utilizing the planned development mechanism.

This is so even though the overall density of the project (2.8 dwelling units per acre) is proposed below the 6.14 dwelling units per acre requested in 2024.

Pinnacle on Meyers meets the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan by providing for a proper transitional use from the County highway and non-residential uses in the area to the residential uses west of School Street. In this instance the transition operates from the B4A uses on Roosevelt Road to B3 to R2 (R2PD). The style of housing and size of the lots allows a great housing opportunity for those who no longer (or never did) desire large lot residential use, but who also desire luxury housing opportunities. The development remains an appropriate replacement project that will substitute uniform development for a hodge podge of residential and non-residential uses that have often drawn the ire of residents in the area as well as the enforcement powers of local agencies. The project also achieves a strong balance between the need for new housing and lifestyle opportunities while also respecting existing neighborhoods. (Pages 15, 16, 23, 24)

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 5

The project incorporates storm planning and best management practices for the first time ever at this location. (Page 13) The project is at one of Lombard's gateways and it will certainly present a strong and positive community image—one that allows confidence that the Village remains a thriving community with a diverse housing stock rather than a collection of discordant nonconforming uses. (Pages 14, 15, 16, 23, 24) Development of this type also allows more residents direct access to the PACE system while extending the Village's sidewalk network near a public grade school and between public parks. (Page 18) The development externalizes open space and provides for a heavily landscaped perimeter to be maintained and irrigated by the association. (Page 19) The Facility Planning Area adjustment and utility reduces duplication of government services in the vicinity. (Page 20)

The project will also provide for street or parkway trees on both sides of School Street south of the north development line (Page 48) while also reducing all driveways on School Street and reducing the number of driveways on South Meyers Road. (Page 49) The current rights of way allow ample room for bicycles and there is plenty of access from the Subject Property to walking and biking trails in the unincorporated area even though planned incorporated bikeways are further away. (Page 50)

The Subject Property is not an identified area of concern within the Plan.

Standards for Conditional Use

Section 155.103(F)(8) provides the standards for conditional use which overlap with the conditional use that is a planned development. Building height involves an approved conditional use that will continue. Applicant addresses the standards in with the findings in italics, the basis following, and reference to the 2014 Comprehensive Plan discussion above.

Preliminarily, it is important to note that a conditional use is a use that the Village has already legislatively deemed appropriate for the zoning district in which the use is listed as conditional. Such a use should be approved unless the use at this particular location poses particular concerns that do not prevail on other lots within the district (or other districts within which the same conditional use is available). There is no particular concern at the Subject Property that counsels against continuing the conditional use for height (three stories and 38 feet) and the conditional use for planned development that is not otherwise being adjusted with the new plans. The development would be common at many locations throughout the R2 zoning district or throughout the R0, R1 and R3 districts if land was available. The assemblage is not unique since most R2 development had its genesis in an assemblage of land.

The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use will not be detrimental to, or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort, or general welfare. The conditional use for a building height of three stories and 38' is available in every zoning district that allows single-family detached residential land use. The additional height allows for a large number of roof design options, but it also allows for private (now roofed) amenity in interior and exterior rooftop settings.

The planned development will not pose detriment to the health, safety, morals or welfare inasmuch as it contemplates the same use available on other lots in the area—single-family detached homes. In this case, the density reduction and parking planning actually touch on neighborhood concerns. The planned development internalizes impacts that would ordinarily

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 6

appear on the perimeter of the development, reducing driveways on School Street to zero and relying on only one driveway on each of Meyers Road and 14th Street. The planned development remains landscaped beyond the level of landscaping required and contains conversion of a series of lots that hosted nonconforming uses to valuable residential use. The proposed density is below the allowed under R2 zoning. The planned development still includes parkway trees on both sides of School Street when it need only provide for these on the east side of School Street. A homeowners' association will be responsible for internal governance and apply covenants that include architectural and design review as well as conditions of residing within the development that align with the Village's and neighbors' interests in responsible and unified control over uses that exist within a carefully-planned community.

The conditional use will not be injurious to the uses and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood in which it is to be located. In addition to converting a series of longstanding nonconforming contractor and vehicle uses to residential use, the planned development will provide for an attractive residential setting with taller buildings relying on varied building placement that exceeds required street setbacks on streets with opposing residential uses. The three-story buildings with a maximum height of 38 feet will not cause a denial of use or general welfare to other properties and their owners. A two-story, 30-foot building could easily have a maximum height of 38 feet based on the ordained means of calculating building height to points in a roof. The only difference in the use of the proposed buildings lies in the rooftop deck areas to allow for private outdoor amenity that, by design, will necessarily be passive in their use due to the sizes of the uncovered deck areas.

Lot 7 offers a setback from Meyers Road designed with particular attention to the residential uses at the southerly corners of the intersection of Meyers Road and 14th Street (the northeast corner is an outdoor storage site for the township). This area also includes the deepest and largest visible portion of Outlot A which will be maintained and irrigated by the association and not left to a private owner to maintain. This design also meets with various neighbors' interests in having a greenway as people turn west down 14th Street. There is no requirement of a greenway at this location. The homes themselves will be quite expensive, offering a single-family value that should have a positive overall impact on neighboring and nearby values without. At other locations in Lombard, planned developments with adjusted interior setbacks and lot sizes have also operated for years without affecting the value or maintenance of land in the same district that does not benefit from a planned development providing the relief at issue in this application.

The establishment of the conditional use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district. The project will not impede the use of abutting and nearby parcels for their permitted uses. The property to the north has an as-of-right entitlement under County R-3 regulations to a height of 36 feet, or more when aggregated to an area of 40,000 square feet. Further, nothing related to the height of buildings in this development would prevent the owners of other land from using lots for their permitted purposes even if they preserved any nonconforming front yard setbacks.

The planned development increases the likelihood of normal and orderly development of adjacent and nearby lots by reducing possible curb cuts on Meyers Road and 14th Street and eliminating curb cuts on School Street. Additionally, Applicant has paid close attention to the maintenance of perimeter transitions into the development. The only area where there is a technical

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 7

shortage arises along 14th Street, but the visually apparent distance of buildings from the curb (+/- 36 feet) exceeds the minimum front yard depth of thirty feet.

Adequate public utilities, access roads, drainage and/or necessary facilities will be provided. Roadways are sufficient to serve the development. DuDOT has essentially approved the Meyers Road driveway design and the density reduction will not affect County permits. The 14th Street driveway remains in the middle of the block. Gas and electricity as well as water and sewer from Lombard are directly available to the Subject Property. Drainage is planned in compliance with applicable County and Lombard ordinances. The Village will have a combination of blanket and stormwater easements to insure that it can act in the event that the association fails to do so.

Adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress designed to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets. Traffic for the development is oriented to Meyers Road and to 14th Street. Applicant provides a limited access on Meyers Road in a fashion that is consistent as to intent and design with the plans approved by the County. Applicant retains private gates at a greater depth to each gate for a truck or at least two passenger vehicles. Lastly, the gate system will have access controls that open the gates in the event of a power outage and that allow vehicles into the development without delay or the risk of backing into the right of way.

The conditional use is not contrary to the objectives of the current comprehensive plan for the Village of Lombard. Please see the above discussion of the 2014 Comprehensive Plan.

The conditional use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located, except as such regulations may, in each instance, be modified pursuant to the recommendations of the Plan Commission. Applicant seeks a reasonable collection of exceptions and deviations, all of which are designed to provide for a better development that benefits the public as much as it does the Applicant and eventual lot owners. Residential growth in furtherance of additional housing opportunity and new Lombard residents not only leads to efficiencies in the provision of services, but it furthers the economic interests of the Village and its business community. The above-ground utility cabinet serves a practical interest of serving streetlights, gates and landscape irrigation, all of which offer benefit to the public as well as the Applicant and lot owners. The deviation for sign height remains important to neighbors since all prefer effective identification of the driveways sufficiently in advance of a turn movement. The bulk of the yard/setback, landscaping and screening/fencing variations serve to improve the development for the owners and those who will see the development from the outside. The interior side yard and open space relief allows for innovative development and the creation of a residential environment that acknowledges the value of private open space and the circumstance that the buyers of housing of this type often will not desire ongoing maintenance of large yards. To the extent that deviations relate to the perimeter of the development, the objective in allowing the relief ties more to creating lot lines and managing Outlot A which will be maintained by the association with special attention to landscaping and screening.

Applicant Meets the Standards for Planned Developments

As noted above, the planned development meets the Village's conditional use standards. Developments should attain all of the standards, but the Plan Commission can recommend and the Village Board can approve a planned development that falls short in any one category if the overall merits of the planned development remain in the public interest and warrant approval.

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 8

Except as modified by and approved in the final development plan, the proposed development complies with the regulations of the district or districts in which it is to be located. As noted immediately above, the development complies with those regulations in the R2 district other than those that would need to be varied slightly to allow the development. Overall the project (lot areas, setbacks, and lot widths) is more compliant than before.

Community sanitary sewage and potable water facilities connected to a central system are provided. Applicant will connect to Village water and sewer and do so in compliance with Village public works and engineering requirements. The planned development is a large step in the direction of reducing duplicative services that overlap with Village, County and Flagg Creek.

The dominant use in the proposed planned development is consistent with the recommendations of the comprehensive plan of the village for the area containing the subject site. As noted above, the project meets the objectives of the 2014 Comprehensive Plan. The density reduction in the same form of a responsible unified development is consistent with the Plan.

The proposed planned development is in the public interest and is consistent with the purposes of this zoning ordinance. The Zoning Ordinance (including the map) repeatedly reflects efforts to plan for transitions in use at appropriate locations, be they along rear lot lines or across streets where there is a clear neighborhood change. In this instance, the instant block will benefit from the conversion of some of the more unpopular uses in the area to residential land use that will transition from Meyers Road to School Street, the west side of which further transitions to a larger lot unincorporated area. The Landscape Plan, Amended Planned Development Site Plan and Fence Plan reflect attention to detail on the perimeter of the project such that it attains the objectives of perimeter yards and open space. Applicant provides significant landscaping that is not required under the Zoning Ordinance or the Subdivision Regulations and it does so in recognition of expressed desires of nearby residents.

The streets are properly designed. Access to the planned development is proper. The midblock access on 14th Street is the best location for the south driveway. As noted above, the gates will allow easy access to owners, first responders, delivery drivers and postal staff. The gates will also allow access to those who are simply lost and need to pass through without having to back onto the right of way. The gates are at a depth sufficient to avoid stacking into the streets. The development provides more than enough parking with nine spaces per home. Obstructions to the private drive will not be permitted other than scheduled moving or large-item delivery operations, and the association would obtain a special event permit to have block parties or other events that include the closure of any part of the private street. The number of new trips for the eleven home plan will not overburden existing transportation facilities or unduly increase traffic congestion.

The development will not impose an excessive burden on public parks, recreation areas, schools, and other public facilities which serve or are proposed to serve the planned development. The development is conveniently located near schools and parks. Additionally, the development provides outdoor amenities that will allow owners outdoor recreation opportunity (passive and active). The project is within the boundaries of the York Center Park District. York Center is among those government agencies that will benefit from increased revenues from real estate taxes. The nearest parks offer both active and passive recreation. Co-Op Park is intended to remain a primarily passive park and Knolls Park and York Center facilities on Luther are sufficient to allow use by the new residents. The additional revenues will support employee retention and

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 9

facilities management. For decades, Lombard and Oakbrook Terrace have planned for Lombard public safety services on the west side of Meyers Road. The uses will not over-burden these public services. Additionally, Lombard has adequate water and sanitary sewer capacity to serve the development. To the extent residents use Lombard Park District facilities, they would do so in accordance with the District's regulations and program rules.

Exceptions and deviations are consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and firmly grounded in responsible planning. Applicant seeks only one continuing use exception for the above-ground utility cabinet that allows the association to connect its water and electrical facilities. No use other than single-family detached residential is proposed.

The reduction of yards serves a critical purpose that opens up housing opportunity for those who do not want the maintenance responsibility and do not require large interior side yards. The homes are attractive, luxury residences that offer private outdoor spaces and meet the objective of providing a living space in a private lot format while dedicating land on the perimeter of the development to association care and maintenance for the benefit of the public. The continued deviation relating to fence height is intended to screen, and the residents along School Street prefer a 6-foot solid fence over a shorter fence or an open fence. The 6-foot solid fence can also better screen the use on Lot 6 from the neighbor to the north who has one window and a shed where there can already be a 6-foot fence. Wrapping corner units is a function of the overall landscaping and screening plan, and the intent is to maintain private use on the interior of the development without having it within the general observation of the public. The plan avoids the creation of an appearance of a "compound" by having inviting 4-foot open-style geometric fences and landscaping at the driveways and along most of 14th Street. The list of deviations arose over the course of several discussions with neighbors regarding efforts to avoid driveways on School Street and efforts to realign the residential setting—one that was originally proposed as 15 pairs of attached single family homes. The deviations further a better development that is more beneficial to the residents or occupants of the planned development as well as those of the surrounding properties. Although floor area is not regulated in the R2 district, the relief sought for interior yards and the conditional use for the third floor do not increase the overall floor area by more than 40 percent of what could be planned.

All plans reflect that the buildings in the planned development are situated so as to dissipate adverse impact on adjoining buildings and to avoid an invasion of privacy of neighbors. All perimeter yards are compliant. The south front yard setback was 36 feet from the curb line of a common law dedicated street and 22 feet from the actual lot line reflected in the Planned Development Site Plan. It is now 24 feet from the actual lot line. The perimeter yards are consistent with yards allowed in County R-3 territory and in the Village R0 and R1 districts. Transitional yards are not required, but Applicant heavily landscapes and screens Outlot A as though transitional landscaping were required.

The Subdivision Merits Approval

A planned development is a blend of subdivision and zoning and planning. The planned development and related preliminary plat of subdivision reflect substantial compliance with the Village's regulations. The preliminary plat of subdivision merits approval. The exceptions and deviations from the Zoning Ordinance, and any related variation from the subdivision regulations in Chapter 154, will not be detrimental to the public safety, health or welfare, or injurious to other property or improvements in the neighborhood in which the Subject Property is located. The

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 10

uniqueness of the Subject Property, as being surrounded by streets on three sides, being adjacent to the County highway and being an area of transition between commercial use and lower density residential use to the areas to the west (indeed in an R0 zoning district created specifically and only for the land to the west) support a determination that the conditions are not applicable, generally, to other property. Due to the particular physical surroundings and other conditions of the Subject Property, a particular hardship to the owner would result if the subdivision relief was not granted inasmuch as it would force a wide disagreement over the use of School Street and other matters that are not currently intended to be the subject of a dispute with neighbors (as distinguished from a mere inconvenience). The subdivision variations will not conflict with provisions of the Lombard Zoning Ordinance or Comprehensive Plan. All of the grounds for these conclusions have been explained above.

Development and Association Matters

The Subject Property will be under single ownership until developed. Thereafter, it will be under the control of an association for the purposes of management and operations that include maintenance, repair, insurance, self-regulation, and replacement of common area improvements. A copy of the revised declaration will be provided as necessary at hearing, but it will govern all of the lots.

Applicant will accept the water it must from other properties and convey it. Applicant will store the water it must store and do so in a fashion that calls for an appropriate release rate. Detention will be underground. Applicant also uses a combination of pipes, swales and rain gardens to comply with applicable ordinances. The project will not increase the potential for flood damages to adjacent property.

Each dwelling is reasonably and properly accessible for fire and rescue purposes. The Meyers Road access drive orientation and planning will avoid obstructions to area travel by the York Center Fire Protection District (located south along Meyers) or other rescue personnel assisting in the area. Since the area is already such a large unincorporated area with a combination of more dense housing and a diversity of business and institutional use, police protection from the County already occurs and there is no sign that Oakbrook Terrace is going to be annexing the territory east of Meyers Road.

The project will plan for internal storage of waste until the local hauling day when trash will be stored outside. There is no large congregational area and outdoor areas in units are of the size where it can be expected that they would host smaller gatherings of friends and family. York Center Elementary School has not seen a need to re-plan streets as part of its drop off or pick up program, and it still safely relies on the right of way for purposes that also include parking. Lighting will comply with Lombard standards.

The association will be a point of contact for residents, neighbors, and the Village. It will handle lawn and landscape care, streets and snow removal. Additionally, it will handle design review.

Lastly, underlying agreements such as the annexation agreement and public improvement agreement, for example, will need to be revised and approved. It seems that the ordinance can take a form similar to that used in Creekview which identified adjusted and new relief and eliminated unnecessary prior relief.

PROJECT NARRATIVE

1308-1330 South Meyers Road, Lombard, DuPage County, Illinois
Permanent Index Nos. 06-21-102-010, -011, -012, -013, -014, -028
The Pinnacle at Meyers Density Reduction

Page 11

Conclusion

This particular site and the block that hosts it have been the subject of years of effort to develop appropriate uses under the Zoning Ordinance. Running north from 14th Street to the north line of the Subject Property, there have been decades of outdoor use and storage (which Applicant now eliminated). Applicant proposes a project revision that should be acceptable at the Subject Property.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Plan Commission recommend and the Village Board approve the matters set forth in the itemization of relief, all plans submitted, and such other matters raised during staff, Commission and Board review to allow the development of eleven lots plus Outlot A with all related adjustments.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

AFSAR DEVELOPERS, LLC

/s/ Mark W. Daniel

By: _____

Mark W. Daniel
Daniel Law Office, P.C.
17W733 Butterfield Road, Suite F
Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois 60181
(630) 833-3311
Cell: (312) 927-0177
mark@thedaniellawoffice.com
Attorney No. 6626696

ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CERTAIN ZONING RELIEF
GRANTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 8292 GRANTING APPROVAL
OF A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT WITH COMPANION
CONDITIONAL USE AND DEVIATIONS AND VARIATIONS
FROM THE LOMBARD CODE OF ORDINANCES AND
GRANTING APPROVAL OF A FINAL PLAT OF SUBDIVISION,
PURSUANT TO TITLE 15, CHAPTER 155 OF THE LOMBARD
ZONING ORDINANCE**

(PC 25-10: 1308-1330 S. Meyers Road – Pinnacle at Meyers Planned Development)

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Lombard have heretofore adopted the Lombard Zoning Ordinance, otherwise known as Title 15, Chapter 155 of the Code of Lombard, Illinois; and,

WHEREAS, the Subject Property, as described in Section 9 below, is zoned R2PD Single Family Residence District Planned Development; and,

WHEREAS, on September 19, 2024, the Village adopted Ordinance No. 8292, granting approval of a planned development with companion conditional use, deviations, and variations from the Lombard Code of Ordinances, and granting approval of a preliminary plat of subdivision, for a 22-unit single-family residential development on the subject property; and,

WHEREAS, the development plan approved by Ordinance No. 8292 has been revised; and

WHEREAS, an application has heretofore been filed requesting revision of the zoning relief granted by Ordinance 8292 to approve the revised development plan, pursuant to the Lombard Zoning Ordinance (Title 15, Chapter 155 of the Village Code):

1. Amend the approvals previously requested through Plan Commission petition PC 24-07, and granted by Ordinance No. 8292, as follows:
 - a. Pursuant to Section 155.504 of Village Code, approve the following major changes to the Pinnacle Planned Development:
 - i. Amendment to provide for development of 11 detached single-family residences, where the previous approval provided for 22 detached single-family residences;
 - ii. Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(1)(a)(iv), which requires a front yard of 30 feet, deviations in order to adjust the prior relief granted for 22 lots and allow front yards of 28 feet on Lots 1-3 and 25 feet on Lots

- 4-11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan and preliminary plat of subdivision;
- iii. Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(2), which requires a corner side yard of 20 feet, deviations in order to adjust the prior relief granted for 22 lots and allow corner side yards of nine (9) feet on Lot 4, and ten (10) feet on Lot 11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan (all dimensions measured to the lot line shared with Outlot A);
 - iv. Pursuant to Section 154.506(D), variations in order to permit 11 lots with frontage on the private streets within the subdivision, where the previous approval provided this relief for 22 lots;
 - v. Pursuant to Section 155.210 and 155.210(A)(2)(b), a variation in order to allow an above-ground utility cabinet before the principal building and allow the cabinet in front of the south and east walls of the building on Lot 3, where previously this relief was granted relative to the same location on prior Lot 6;
- b. Elimination of the following relief approved by Ordinance 8292:
- i. Pursuant to Section 155.407(E), deviations to allow individual lot widths less than 60 feet;
 - ii. Pursuant to 155.407(F)(3), deviations to allow interior side yards of less than six (6) feet;
- c. Preservation of the following relief approved by Ordinance 8292:
- i. Pursuant to Section 155.407(G)(2) of Village Code, approve a conditional use for building height not to exceed 38 feet or three stories;
 - ii. Pursuant to Sections 155.510(A)(1) and Section 155.407(H), deviations in order to allow open space to be calculated across all parcels in the planned development rather than on a parcel-by-parcel basis, and to allow a development with 45% open space where 50% open space is required;
 - iii. Pursuant to Section 155.205(A)(1)(c), a variation in order to allow, as shown in the Landscape Plan and Planned Development Fence Plan, a 6-foot fence on Outlot A at all locations (a portion of the north fence extends along the abutting front yard to the north) except near the Meyers Road and 14th Street driveways where a 4-foot fence is depicted;
 - iv. Pursuant to Section 155.711, variations in order to allow innovative landscaping per the submitted Landscape Plan;
 - v. Pursuant to Section 154.304(D)(2) and Section 154.306(D)(2), variations in order to allow public improvements to the School Street and 14th Street rights-of-way depicted in the preliminary engineering plan, Planned Development Site Plan and Landscape Plan, as determined upon hearing and decision;

- vi. Pursuant to Section 154.304(D)(3), Section 154.306(D)(3) and Section 154.309, variations in order to allow improvements to the Meyers Road right-of-way depicted in the preliminary engineering plan, Planned Development Site Plan and Landscape Plan, as determined upon hearing and decision;
- vii. Pursuant to Section 154.407(A) and Section 154.503(D), variations in order to continue the existing widths of all abutting rights-of-way and pavement widths thereof;
- viii. Pursuant to Section 154.510 and Section 150.301, variations in order to permit the driveways onto Meyers Road and onto 14th Street as depicted in the preliminary engineering plans and Planned Development Site Plan provided that the gate shall remain operable to allow entry by all vehicles without access control so as not to stack vehicles over the sidewalk or cause backing movements;
- ix. Such other variations from Chapter 154, including those which exclude final landscape treatment from public improvements required to be completed prior to the initiation of the final ten percent (10%) of units but only to the extent required on lots that have not been certified for occupancy, as deemed necessary and appropriate;
- x. Pursuant to Section 153.232(B), a deviation in order to allow each subdivision sign at a height of six (6) feet, where a height of four (4) feet is permitted; and

2. Approve a revised final plat of subdivision pursuant to Section 154.203(D) of Village Code; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing on such application has been conducted by the Village of Lombard Plan Commission on July 28, 2025, pursuant to appropriate and legal notice; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan Commission has filed its recommendations with the President and Board of Trustees recommending approval of the requested revisions; and,

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees approve and adopt the findings and recommendations of the Plan Commission and incorporate such findings and recommendations herein by reference as if they were fully set forth herein;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE VILLAGE OF LOMBARD, DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, based on the findings and recommendations of the Plan Commission incorporated herein, that the zoning relief granted by No. Ordinance 8292 is amended as follows:

SECTION 1: That the approvals granted by Ordinance 8282 are hereby amended to provide for development of 11 detached single-family residences, where the previous approval provided for 22 detached single-family residences on the Subject Property legally described in Section 8 and subject to the conditions set forth in Section 9.

SECTION 2: That Section 1.1.b.ii of Ordinance 8292 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(1)(a)(iv), which requires a front yard of 30 feet, deviations in order to allow front yards of 28 feet on Lots 1-3 and 25 feet on Lots 4-11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan and preliminary plat of subdivision.

SECTION 3: That Section 1.1.b.iii of Ordinance 8292 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

Pursuant to Section 155.407(F)(2), which requires a corner side yard of 20 feet, deviations in order to allow corner side yards of nine (9) feet on Lot 4, and ten (10) feet on Lot 11, as provided for in the Planned Development Site Plan (all dimensions measured to the lot line shared with Outlot A).

SECTION 4: That Section 1.1.c.iv of Ordinance 8292 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

Pursuant to Section 154.506(D), variations in order to permit 11 lots with frontage on the private streets within the subdivision.

SECTION 5: That Section 1.1.b.vi of Ordinance 8292 is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows:

Pursuant to Section 155.210 and 155.210(A)(2)(b), a variation in order to allow an above-ground utility cabinet before the principal building and allow the cabinet in front of the south and east walls of the building on Lot 3.

SECTION 6: That the approval of a preliminary and final plat of subdivision for The Pinnacle at Meyers Subdivision 1st Resubdivision is hereby granted for the Subject Property legally described in Section 8 and subject to the conditions set forth in Section 9.

SECTION 7: That the following zoning relief granted by Ordinance No. 8292 is hereby repealed:

1. Pursuant to Section 155.407(E), deviations to allow individual lot widths less than 60 feet;
2. Pursuant to 155.407(F)(3), deviations to allow interior side yards of less than six (6) feet;

SECTION 8: That this Ordinance is limited and restricted to the property located at 1308-1330 S. Meyers Road, Lombard, Illinois and legally described as follows:

LOTS 1-22 AND OUTLOT A IN THE PINNACLE AT MEYER'S SUBDIVISION OF PART OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED MARCH 18, 2025 AS DOCUMENT R2025-015016, IN DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

Parcel Number(s): 06-21-102-035, 06-21-102-036, 06-21-102-037, 06-21-102-038, 06-21-102-039, 06-21-102-040, 06-21-102-041, 06-21-102-042, 06-21-102-043, 06-21-102-044, 06-21-102-045, 06-21-102-046, 06-21-102-047, 06-21-102-048, 06-21-102-049, 06-21-102-050, 06-21-102-051, 06-21-102-052, 06-21-102-053, 06-21-102-054, 06-21-102-055, 06-21-102-056, and 06-21-102-057; (the "Subject Property").

SECTION 9: The zoning relief granted by this Ordinance shall be subject to compliance with the following conditions:

1. That the petitioner shall satisfactorily address all comments noted within the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report;
2. That the petitioner shall develop the site in accordance with the plans submitted as part of this petition and referenced in the Inter-Departmental Review Committee Report, except as they may be changed to conform to Village Code;
3. That the petitioner shall apply for and receive building permits for the proposed improvements; and
4. That this approval shall be subject to the commencement time provisions as set forth within Section 155.103(F)(11).

SECTION 10: All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby repealed insofar as they conflict herewith.

SECTION 11: If any section, paragraph, clause, phrase or part of this Ordinance is for any reason held invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions of this Ordinance, and the application of these provisions to any person or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

SECTION 12: This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

SECTION 13: That the zoning relief granted by Ordinance No. 8292 not expressly amended or revised by this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

Passed on first reading this _____ day of _____, 2025.

First reading waived by action of the Board of Trustees this _____ day of _____, 2025.

Passed on second reading this _____ day of _____, 2025, pursuant to a roll call vote as follows:

Ayes: _____

Nays: _____

Absent: _____

Approved by me this _____ day of _____, 2025.

Anthony Puccio, Village President

ATTEST:

Ranya Elkhatab, Village Clerk

Ordinance No. _____

Re: PC 25-10

Page 7

Published in pamphlet from this _____ day of _____, 2025.

Ranya Elkhatib, Village Clerk