Public Comments Received Prior to Plan Commission Meeting

Papke Anna

From:	Pritesh Patel
Sent:	Monday, January 24, 2022 9:26 AM
То:	Community Development
Subject:	Pc 22-01
Attachments:	Norbury Opposition Letter.pdf; signature pages.pdf

Please be cautious

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Planning Commision

Attached are the opposition letter and signature pages in opposition to the proposed extension of Norbury to 20th. We will deliver originals to village hall.



--

January 24, 2022

To Village of Lombard Planning Commission case/petition number PC 22-01

Dear Planning Commision,

The Yorkshire Woods Community is writing to the Commision to express our strong opposition to the proposed road extension of Norbury Ave from 17th to 20th street for the new development.

We want to be clear that we are unanimous in our support of the proposed housing development plan with the benefits of more homes for Lombard to grow, increasing the tax base, etc.

However, we <u>do not</u> support the opening of Norbury Ave to through vehicle traffic due to our concern for the safety of our children.

Today, Norbury Ave. is a quiet street that has a dead end in Yorkshire Woods. Only residents, guests, and service vehicles enter this area. Opening Norbury would allow vehicle traffic to drive through our community from 16th to 20th street . The increase in through vehicle traffic will negatively impact safety in our community. Children, Joggers, moms with strollers, and elderly couples walking in our community are very common sights year round. Currently we have 17 children under the age of 7 who live around Norbury itself. The children and residents are used to playing in an environment where we know all our neighbors and we enjoy the safety, privacy and security by not having through traffic.

The Yorkshire Woods Community was established in approximately 2006. Most of the families moved here and paid a premium on these lots for its seclusion, not to mention higher taxes than other communities in Lombard. We realize a decision was made to open Norbury Ave. seventeen years ago, however, this decision predated the current residents buying lots and building their homes. Today, we have a robust community that enjoys having minimal vehicle through traffic.

We ask that you consider the following proposals as an alternative.

1st Proposal -

- We propose that the hammerhead dead end remain in place in Yorkshire Woods. If a radius modification needs to be made to the hammerhead to ease service vehicles to turn around such as fire trucks, that would be acceptable.
- We feel that this would also increase the property value and desirability of the new development. The new development would then offer more privacy security by limiting through traffic.

2nd Proposal -

It should be noted that we have service vehicles enter and exit Yorkshire Woods without issue. We have not had any fire emergencies in Yorkshire Woods over the past seventeen years.

 If necessary, we propose only a service gravel road exist adjoining the two developments. A gravel road intended for use only by service vehicles such as emergency fire and ambulance, utility, waste management, etc. The gravel road should be marked with proper signage for this purpose not permitted through traffic of private vehicles.

In conclusion, the Yorkshire Woods Community is not in support of the planning commision proposal to open Norbury Ave. from 17th st to 20th st.

Attached is a list of residents of the community that are opposed to the extension of Norbury to 20th street. We have over 50 residents that have signed this petition expressing our concerns.

Thank you for your continued service and support of our communities.

Best regards,

Yorkshire Woods Homeowners Association and the surrounding community.

#	Name	Address	Phone/Email	Signature
1	SM 21a Janalvi	20 Bracken Ct.	248-798-6539	Zi:
2	Same Jame lui	Lombard IL 63149 20 Brache it.	248-238-7131	vil- Cum
3	Win M. H!	6222 12 12	219 Jamie (alight	muil. Com
4	hand	Longer] 68/45	6308426	123
	TAMER A. Al:	11 11	63084196	77 A
5	M. Joya	1707 & Norbury	636-290-3210	RAR
6	Hajida EZZIAKI	0	630-916-064	K
7	ASHRHF JOYK			AN
8		4	20	Hert
9	FATIMA Joyer	21	(30-317-7358	FRITS
	Nausheenla	17035. Nola	8155015528	Na to
10	ahori Khan	1)	8155015624	Calari
11	Zeshan Ahmed	19 Bracken (t.	937-408-9482	GINUN
12	Tajuddin Ahmel	N		Teshan
	\checkmark		937-631-2055	
	Jahmina Ahmed		630-470-7175	
14	Humaira Syed	<u> </u>	630-550-7227	٤
15	Rome men .	A A A AF		0-
16	Pace that shen	11 Brechen 2t	847-387-2002	
17		24 BRACKED Ct	367-387-2025	MA
18			80 0.24-535-1910 11 1 11	3 stel
	ARIUMAND ZAIDI	<u>I</u>	it // //	a. zaoli
19	Sivakeel RAHMAN	14 NEWNIARS CT.	847922-0292	Plake
n	Tameel Hussaini	1	847-971-1698	5

•

Signature Address Phone Emai # Name Menand 9554 1 9 Jennard Ct intigz 408)220-2 9 VENNARD CT, LOMBARD 708-220-9620 ATIFA MEMON 3 (815)519-1788 SABET SIPDING 13 VENMARD CT ZAFI 4 13 Veneral (630) 785 - 7229 501 (ti Lombord SIDDIQUI 1.9 V Chrand SAMINA ALI 5 -246 875 332 6 En Slog? 650)257-8140 15 vening ct. 7 18 Vennard 8477721886 Lombara whe Red 8 6306208483 18 1len pate Avpita 9 21 650.292 (6) 10 15gacke 15 110 6308418128 21, Venners 11 12 6010 630 8418126 12 MCC 11 723-293-6008 13 ·hen 10. Vennord Ct lowbo ANIS KASSIM. 843-791-14 10. Vennard C.I. Lombard AISHA KASSIM 1923 15 Shahnaz Kassim 10. vennardd. lomba 847-849-6117 16 8300 sil Kassim lennavi cla 17 17 773, 793-2906 ennerd Carni wry Ane, 18 630-205-578 LIARUNISMERT L N 19 SHEREYAD A LOT 22 Northung C. 30 -205-5786 20

Phone/Email Signature Address # Name 21 22 E. LITH ST WILLIAM YULA (ONBARD) 22 MANJULA PILLA 11 14 E.17 5t 23 ametas ambard 24 IDHUSO 1719 5 Narburi Ave 25 uhman Lombord 6306562443 26 Reman (Imm' 27 Mehmood 28 Muhannal Mohmood 29 omm Amh 1723 S. NORBURY 224-715-7025 30 Mohamp Li yon Ave. 1 31 Kaniz Bhuiyan 22 Vennard Ct. Fairen Whandal 32 5089632729 33 5 Naushin Whendaken 518312-050 25 vennard ct 2246591056 34 Zahir Chaus 35 224 57/05-6 Ima Nishat 25 Vennard ct S.NORBURY 1727 36 2817799724 mo monammad AVE ABODBAILAR SUMATUA 37 11 11 KOTHAWALA 38 39 40

Papke Anna

From:	Abbas Broachwala		
Sent:	Monday, January 24, 2022 12:32 PM		
То:	Community Development		
Cc:	Mustansir Broachwala; Mansoor Broachwala		
Subject:	Neighborhood Objection		
Attachments:	Objection 01242022.docx		

Please be cautious

This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am the property owner of 19 E. 20th Street, Lombard, IL 60148. My family and I have devoted our careers to public service. I am providing this letter as a formal objection to the changes in my neighborhood under Case No. PC 22-01 with location of subject property at: 14, 101, 104 and 112 E. 20th Street in Lombard, IL 60148.

The Village of Lombard and the owner of the plat numbers 06-20-301-017, 06-20-301-019, 06-20-301-072, 06-20-301-073, and 06-20-302-005, have not provided sufficient information that would allow property owners around the subject area to determine the need of the subject development nor does the information provide property owners with the information necessary to determine the pros or cons of such development.

The purpose of Chapter 151 of the Village of Lombard Code is to accomplish the following: (a) prevent flood and drainage hazards from new development (b) protect new buildings and major improvements from flood damage due to increase stormwater runoff, (c) promote orderly development of land, (d) protect flood-prone areas, (e) moderate flooding and stormwater impacts, and most importantly protect aesthetic benefits and enhance community or economic development.

Upon receiving this letter, I became aware of the fact that the owner of the above-mentioned plat numbers intends on creating numerous houses in our neighborhood. In that process, the builder plans on placing a "water retention area" next to my house. Over the past year I put my life savings into building a 3000 sq ft extension to my house. No information or evidence has been provided as to what that water retention area will do. My family and I reached out to the builder to get plans on what that water retention area is or will do but nothing has been provided to us.

I bought this property and extended my house on the property because it is sitting on a 1-acre lot. In that lot, my family and I are able to enjoy the openness, the fireplace, my sunroom, and other outside amenities without any issues in my backyard. I have never experienced any issues with the land or the lot. The builder believes that a water retention area is necessary in this area, but it would significantly impact the value of my house. Furthermore, I would not enjoy quiet enjoyment of my backyard because if there is standing water next to my backyard it will cause mosquitos and other wildlife to disturb my ability to enjoy the backyard.

Moreover, there is no indication how this water retention area will be moving water or what will happen when water accumulates in this area. Is there a pump for the water retention area? Is there a fountain that moves the stagnant water? Furthermore, this development would move water runoff to the detention area causing harm to my property and potential flood issues. What of the perimeter and

safety concerns around children playing in the backyard and near a potential pond that could cause a drowning issue?

Chapter 151 also calls for the fact that you would protect the aesthetic benefits of the community. In this plan it calls for a random water retention area to be put in my backyard. After speaking with many property appraisers, they all came to one conclusion: a detention or retention water retention area would significantly hurt my property value without significant aesthetic improvements.

The plan and information provided does not even touch on the water management plan, the method in which the water shall be moved to/from a detention/retention are, the height or depth of this area, the 10yr- 20yr and 100yr impact of such water retention area to the land, the slope of the water retention area, the need for drain tiles or pipes, natural trees or bushes or plants that will reduce unwanted wildlife or insects like mosquitoes.

In addition to the water retention issue there are other issues with this development; one being, this plan does not take into consideration any safety of the neighborhood. There are plenty of speeders that roam through the two neighborhoods that the builder plans on joining, including the employees of the two office buildings. The interconnected road would increase traffic and congestion. There is no indication that there will be traffic lights, stop signs, speed radars, or any traffic and speed enforcement that would bring flow management and safety to the joined neighborhood.

Again, due to these obvious concerns and many other concerns that have not been clearly addressed by the developer, my family and I would be against the development proposed by this builder. I feel that the City and County owe current homeowners the right to refuse such development that will negatively impact our home values, cause flooding and hurt our neighborhoods.

Abbas Broachwala

